## DOCKETED

1119

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

7401030

Midway Manufacturing Company :

VS.

The Magnavox Company : 74 Civ 1657 CBM

and : Deposition of Ralph

Sanders Associates, Inc. : H. Baer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

The Magnavox Company, et al : Consolidated Actions

vs. 74 C 1030

74 C 2510 - 75 C 3153

Corporation, et al : 75 C 3933

Continued deposition of Ralph H.

Baer taken pursuant to subpoena and notice at the office of Sanders Associates, Inc. Spit Brook Road,

OCT - 8 1976

ERNEST W. NOLIN & ASSOCIATES

General Stenographic Reporters
369 ELGIN AVE., MANCHESTER, N. H. 03104
TELEPHONE: 623-6906

H. STUART CUNNINGHAM, CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT South Nashua, New Hampshire, on Wednesday,
February 18, 1976, commencing at 10:15 o'clock in the
forenoon.

## PRESENT:

For Midway Manufacturing Company and Bally Manufacturing Corporation:

Donald L. Welsh, Esq.

For Sanders Associates and Magnavox Company:

James T. Williams, Esq.

For Atari, Inc.: & Ton Ton Agent

Edward S. Wright, Esq.

For Sanders Associates:

Louis Etlinger, Esq., and nois Richard I. Seligman, Esq.

Stenotype Reporter:

Barry G. Nolin, C.S.R.

INDEX

her.

Α.

Sanders'	Exhibits:		Page 1111 of
	38, 39	the think the	.e.38 olved, " in
	40	TO STEV MERSON	.8 60 T. V.
	41	e, grant of about by	. 62 R.F. truns-
	42	er a last the m.V.	64 or how
	43	sy han writing, "R	. 78 police to

the T.V. sot fralph H. BAER , etc., 18 % of Sted fr called as a witness in behalf of Midway Manufacturing Company and Bally Manufacturing Corporation, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows: (Interrogatories by Mr. Welsh) Next, under Item 4, Referring to Exhibit 37-1, 2 and 3, would you do the Q. same thing with this exhibit that you did with respect to Exhibit 26, Mr. Baer? or circuity and to extract Yes. signal . " Treter Trem 6, which calls for an Α. entry on who consider WILLIAMS: Titassume You mean Poty : "Filiam Marrison, and tested by William Harrison (By Mr. Welsh.) Yes, read into the record your Q. entries but, also, indicate what the printed legends are mtry: "In compancial with gaming, simulation, Well, 37-1, 2 and 3 are patent disclosure sheets of Α. the standard Sanders format of that time, that is, 1968. This one is executed in pencil. Under "descriptive title." my entry is: "Method for local editing of  $\vec{R}.\vec{F}.-\vec{T}.\vec{V}.$  transmissions." The "problem solved," in 0. my handwriting, is: "To modify standard T.V. the next receiver displaying the modulation of an R.F. transil. The next part entering the T.V. set. "Under "how

it is solved, "in my handwriting, "R.F. applied to

1

2

Ē

repetition in my handwriting, "Method for local

the T.V. set from an antenna, etc., is modulated from

3

Q.

Α.

0.

A.

editing of R.F.-T.V. transmissions." "Old method apparatus, " my answer is "None." Under "Disadvantages of old apparatus or method" I entered the following: "Entering a T.V. signal into a standard receiver requires placing video information on a carrier. When an external transmitted carrier is already being received this becomes impossible, since non-synchronism produces objectionable beat signals." Under "Advantages of new apparatus" I entered the following: "Crowbar or antenna input attenuator control described allows video and color (chroma) modulation of a T.V. set receiving a standard signal without need to connect to its internal circuitry. This allows T.V. receiver attachments to be made in private home sets without need for trained technician." And the "Features believed to be new", I entered ... "Crowbar modulation and sync extraction also achieved without internal set connections." That's the end of the entries on that page, and page 37-3 is blank. EN LU BASTIFFICE

Now, was the method described here incorporated into the application for your 480 patent?

A. Yes, I believe so.

5

6	Q:	Here again, there is only reference to a standard T.V.
1.1	10± 0	receiver and not to a Raster scan T.V. type display?
	À:	That!siright: Mr. Williams Churacturized the answer
7	Q.	Mr. Baer, dare you familiar with the 284 patent and
		the reissue patent No. 28507 Which is a reissue of the
		284 patent? shown in 507 or its predecessor.
12	À:	Yes. there one improvement which was considered to
		be Which you a r (Document handed to the witness
		by Mr. Welsh.)
8	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Do you consider that the apparatus
		disclosed in that patent constituted an improvement
		in the T.V. gaming art when compared with the
		apparatus of your 480 patent?
	Α.	In my opinion, yes.
- 9	Q:	And of what did that improvement consist? ** 1027
	Α.	Would you try to almRorWILLIAMS: I object to the
14	ũ.	question using the phrase that improvement. There
		are, again, a number of different apparatuses
	S 9	disclosed in both the 284 patent and the 480 patents,
		and the question is, therefore, vague, definitely.
10	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) You stated that you consider that
		the apparatus here did constitute an improvement in
		the T.V. gaming art, did you not? 28 or was will

	Α.	That's right. reteriling to.	
11	Q.	Would you tell us what that improvement was? To the	
	Α.	Welly I think Mr. Williams characterized the answer	
		that I would have to give. There are many different	
		improvements shown in 284 which extend the capabilitie	S
15	Si.v	of the invention shown in 507 or its predecessor.	
12	Q.	Was there one improvement which was considered to	
		be which you considered to be of greater scope	
		than others? As the word to the control of the	
		of one or malt in MR. WILLIAMS: I object to the	
16	Q.	question because of the use of the term greater scope.	00
		I don't understand what that phrase means in the	
	. A.	context of the question. I think the question is	
		vagues, because these as as different in a	
13	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Do you understand the question?	
	Α.	Would you try to elaborate on it?	
14	Q.	Was any one of the many different improvements more	
		important, in your view, than others?	
17	Q.	Now, you have the MR. WILLIAMS: " I also object to the	1
		question because it is not fixed to the time as to	
		whether you are talking about the present of at the	
		time of the development of the apparatus of 284 or	
	Α.	at the time of the filing date of 284 or just what a,	
	I		

time your are referringe to first or new Deal in 160
or 169, whatever the the WITNESS: ., If you are referring
tormy recollection of what I might have thought at
theltime Ruschtfiledtfor thesetpatents, was, one more
outstanding innovations, I think I can answer that.
(By Mr. Weish.) Would you do so, please?
Tethink; tamong manyl other things; the introduction
ofnwhateweive come to characterize as ballygames, o will
hitting:spots asotheswords ist defined; was athermost
ofionetor more important contributions, that is,
Whattother contributions do you recall as of the time
ofsfiling?s raction of the delivetime of the
Well, nMrroWelshatI findithatfvery difficult to
answer, becausegtheret areesoimanyedifferent features
described nin the various tpatents that atethis late
date, tunlessel readithem; It find them ivery difficult
to keeprapartiasefarmasrwhere and who edidiwhat ation
thertimeutput is impractical claferentiates.
Nowyoyouchave recalledrone of wthen improvements or
contributions rias nyou referred to it. Donyout of
recall place, any others? s, If you wish to refere to the
patent; that safineer an effective booker dame, for
Lf. Lodo that, I'll be reinterpreting what I remember,

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q.

Å.

Q.

Α.

18

16

1 c

what I considered to be different or new back in '68 or '69, whatever the case may be, in the light of what I read now, and I don't know what that can prove. Well, then, without referring to the patent, do you 18 Q. recall any other improvements than the introduction of ball games? Yes, certainly. All those concepts and circuit Α. configurations that grew from them that have to do with ball motion, which is a function of the manner in which the ball is hit by hitting spots, that is, 23 all those details which deal with the balls. response as a function of the derivative of the hitting motion at the time of the impact. 24 19 Q. Are you speaking about the differentiating and integrating circuits that you discussed earlier? Α. Yes, the gater: differentiater which is described as gater, differentiater, and subsequent integration of the output of the gater differentiater. 20 Do you recall any other improvements? Q. I am not certain, now, but I think the concept of A. four wall bounce, that is, multiple wall bounce such as that necessary for an effective hockey game, for \*example of a grace; fact characterization,

21	Q.	Do you recall any others?
	$\mathbf{A}_{\bullet_{\circ}}$	Yes, Rusch also made some contributions to detailed
		circuit improvements in the horizontal and vertical
		synchoscillator circuits anded to be accomplished,
22	Ω.	Any others? use of that feature, so it is a little
	Α.	If my recollection serves me correctly, the creation
		and concept of the whole series of different action
		games such as ping pong, hockey were a major
38	Q.	contribution. Mr. Rusch with having conceived the grand
23	Q.	Is that concept related to the earlier statement of
		improvement of the introduction of ball games?
	A.,	Yes. he best of my recollection, that's how it was.
24	. ي	More, or aless the same thing, it is it hot?ounce necessary
	Α.	Yes, a hockey gare, for example. Do you clan cradit
25	Q.	And, is that conceptedue to the introduction of the
	À.	bounce feature?
30	A <sub>3</sub> .	By bounce you means assymbols that is capable of wall
		rebounding from other symbols? I suppose the answer
	Ã.	would be westigle wall bounce I mean the provision for
26	Ω.	Coulde the major improvement be characterized very
		simply as introduction of the bounce feature to the ad
		Tyngame) concepts termept between the hall and the wall
	Α.	Well, that's a pretty fair characterization.

- Q. Just pretty fair or --
- A. Well, it's a little hard to associate in my mind the bounce feature with the games which they intended to accomplish, which is intended to be accomplished, through the use of that feature, so it is a little like putting the cart before the horse. The concept of a game has to come first before one needs a bounce feature.
- Q. Do you credit Mr. Rusch with having conceived the ping pong type game where one image appears to bounce off another?
- A. To the best of my recollection, that's how it was.
- Q. Now, you mentioned the multiple wall bounce necessary for a hockey game, for example. Do you also credit Mr. Rusch with conceiving that feature?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. Would-you describe what you meant by multiple wall

bounce?

I detail to the second of the second of

A. Yes, by multiple wall bounce I mean the provision for these two barriers, either in a vertical plane or in the horizontal plane, which are capable of reflecting the ball upon intercept between the ball and the wall symbol.

28

29

30

3 .

- 1		
31	Q.	Now, you just used the phrase wall symbol. Earlier
		did you refer to bouncing off of the edges of the
		screen? Ma. dalah: You can read the
	Α.	No, vindidmetation.
	,	MR. WILLIAMS: The testimony will
		speak for itself.
		MR. WELSH: I didn't recall, so I
		wastaskingohim.image reconsising from a player image
32	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Do I understand correctly, then, that
		you laiso credit Mr. Rusch with conceiving the idea of
		providing wall symbols with a ball image rebounding
ÖÉ	Q.	off of such wall symbols24 elaborate? I'm not such
		the record is clear MR Y WILLWAMS us Weldon Cagain, Mr.
	A .	Baer MithiFalshould only becase to your presents inages,
		recollections coming located on the screen.
		THE WITNESS ma Weller that is fall it
		canubers wool said earlier, tyes, I think that secrue.
33	Q.	(By Mr.tiWelsh.th At Reast, you don't credit yourself
		hwith that? of a player image and the bounce of
	Α.	thedon't think sof of a wall image?
34	<b>₽</b> 0.	Is the same thing true with respect to a ball rebounding
37	2.	and bakin image, rebounding office a player image as
		distinguished from a wall image?

	1.	l don't throk so. MR. WILLIAMS: Is what the same
38	4.	thing?. Harrion conceive either of the name
		features? MR. WELSH: You can read the
	λ.	prévious tquestion ne difficulty, I don't recollect.
		Again, I would have (Thegbastiquestion was wead back.
		by the preporter red that wasn't the number of trise
		questions. THE WITNESS: The question was
M	٧.	whether may balk simage rebounding rfrom adplayer timage
		washconceived:byuMr.fRusch of; intmytopinion; or to
		the best yof my recollection y and I believe the answer
		has to sbe week you listed?
35	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Would you elaborate?bjFim not tsure
		the record is chear knowes ha Mrankusch meonce ived
	Α.	Yes; MrngRuschsconceived bounce lieature of two images,
40	ą.	two symbols becoming receted on the screens.
		End Fike ito make sure ythat with
		is understood that represents my present recollection.
36	Q.	And is that with respect to both sthe bounce of the
		ball himage offerof a player image and the bounce of
		the ball image off of a wall image? ell, I obsect to
	Α.	Yes. question. You are again ask. : him a very :
37	Q.	And yourdid not conceive either of those bounce the
		features \$80 panents, and it down to seven that there

39

Q.

Q.

40

A. Il don't bthink soason for him to make that kind of

Q. Did! MrisHarrisonmconceive either of the bounce enselves.

features? MR. WELSH: Well, I am crying to

A. Well, withwhite same difficulty, have decided to recollectiveAgain, and would have to gos through the documents; and

If thoughtower agreed that? Washit the purpose of these
guestions the respect to the apparatus of the 430 paterns.

Comparing the apparatus, of the treissued patent 28507 with a the tapparatuse of your 480 patents do your operative on sider it to have the same, improvements ask those which you listed the patents referred to as improvements, but wMR. WILLIAMS and object to the question at I don't know what improvements you are referring to as those which he listed you are

(ByeMrinWeisht) e Impresponses to myl questions a patents the apparatus tMRt WILLIAMS: || Coulds your repeated the question again, please?

byethedreportery ) answering that question. In the first place, as the MR48WILLIAMS: 7 Well, wI object to the question. Syou are again asking him alvery a question which calls for a detailed analysis of the 284 and 480 patents, and it doesn't seem that there

should be any reason for him to make that kind of analysis from memory. The patents speak for themselves.

MR. WELSH: Well, I am trying to determine what he considers to have been the improvements and what he now considers to be the improvements of the apparatus of the 284 and the reissue 28507 patents with respect to the apparatus of the 480 patent. Now, as I understand it, both the apparatus of the 480 and the reissue 28507 were incorporated into working models which were demonstrated, and I'm not asking him to state what the patents referred to as improvements, but what he considers to be the improvements of the apparatus.

MR. WILLIAMS: But you are referring to the apparatus as disclosed in the patents, not the apparatus that was actually constructed and demonstrated.

great difficulty answering that question. In the first place, neither 284 nor 28507 are my patents.

They're Rusch's patents. In the second place, my recollection is that 507 is very similar in most details to 284, so I don't see where you can ask that

		question and expect me to answer it.
41	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Well, I believe they're exactly the
		same, but it is the comparison of the 507 apparatus
		with the 480 apparatus.
	Α.	I may have looked at those patents a hundred times,
		but I can't respond to the question, because at the
		moment I simply don't recollect which it is that
		480 covers.
42	Q.	You did testify, I believe, with respect to apparatus,
		specific apparatus, that was constructed, and I
A 15	,	believe you also stated it was constructed as described
	ec.	in the 480 patent and the 284. Specifically, do you
		recall which model incorporated the apparatus of the
4.7	-9 ×	480? I was as used the transfer and was been as a second
	Α.	I believe that yesterday we tried to relate 480 to
	c .	the hardware in Exhibit 34 and, also, in parts of
151	<i>y</i>	Exhibit 35, and I believe portions of 284 were also
		related to Exhibit 35
43	Ω.	You consider that Exhibit 35 embodies the apparatus
		of the 480, which is your patent?
45	A.	Yes. Re d. Tele 7 degree no been an enter the transmission
		MR. WILLIAMS: Well, again, there's
	٨.	alnumber: of apparatus in the 480 patenty some of which

		may or may not be in a particular piece of hardware.
44	Q.	(By:Mr. Welsh.) Does Exhibit 35 not embody the
50	5d +	digital circuitry of the 285 patents?
	Α.	Again, you are straining my recollection with respect
5-3.	d.	to the patent numbers and what 'sain them me a bread-
45	Q.	There's the 285 patent. image generating circultry,
		did you not? (Document handed to the witness
	ř.	bys Mr. Welsh.)
52	Q.	Would you identify THE tWITNESS: 1 Wellhaweewentithrough
		that yesterday, and the answer is yes.
46	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) AndRthat Exhibit 351 wasademonstrated
		toj8:C.A.Tamonguothers?has been asks and answered.
	, A.	Yesve gone through those exhibits for some sleven
47	Q.	Nows there was also a demonstration in January of 1968
	γ.,	to Teleprompter?testimony.
53	А.	ThatMs. corkect: Wis ' Empirit :97
48	Q.	And could you identify which exhibit number represents
		or which exhibit represents that apparatus?
		MR. WILLIAMS: That question so
		beenwasked.andpansweredwas an earlier one.
49	۵.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Just so the recordestribise portion
		ischearbrerd No. 37
	Α.	Addinight4 widlihtrys: Inbelieve: therequipment i believe:

		demonstrated to Teleprompter was that which we tlabeled
		Exhibite30me we identified a minute ago; I this the
50	Q.	And that was chassis No. 242 the unit the war shown
	Α.	Yes management people including their Mr. Etlanter
51	Q.	And you also constructed at an earlier time a bread-
r _	Q.	board of your original image generating circuitry,
		did you met,? do you consider the armaratus of Skhib.
	Α.	Yes, I phideas improvement a over the apparatus of
52	Q.	Would you sidentify that and tell us what exhibit number
	A.	that is?
56	Q.	What are those impuMR/wwWHHHIAMS: Well, again, I
	A .	objectac This question has been asked and answered.
		We've gone through these exhibits for some eleventh
		days now pinegreat detailed this doesn't seem necessary
57	u.	to repeat prior, testimony.t of the addition of the
53	Ω.	(By Mr. Welsh.)? Was it Exhibit 29?
	Α.	Yes. (Exhibit handed to the witness by
5,	Q.	Mr. Welshwider Sxhirit 30 apparatus to be an it i ve-
		ment over that of THE WITNESS: Andon't believe so,
		Mr. Welsh. I think Mit. was an Wearlier lone grin. I
54	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) quexhibit 24, which bears the
		numbery breadboard No. Filt bire 30 and Eshibit 28 are
	Α.	Exhibit 24 was the original breadboard which I believe

you asked what earlier one going backwards in time from the one we identified a minute ago; I think the predecessor was Exhibit 28, the unit that was shown to management people including their Mr. Etlinger and Mr. Campman.

55

56

57

58

- Q. Comparing Exhibit 30 to Exhibit 28 and the operation of the two, do you consider the apparatus of Exhibit 30 to possess improvements over the apparatus of Exhibit 28?
- Α.
- Q. What are those improvements?

Yes.

- A. They include, particularly, the ability to play active ball games in the case of Exhibit 30, which was not possible with Exhibit 28.
- Q. Is that, again, the result of the addition of the bounce feature?
- A. Yes. " A Common of the Comm
- Do you consider Exhibit 30 apparatus to be an improvement over that of Exhibit 28 in any other respects?

  MR. WILLIAMS: Well, again, I object to the question. We've had extensive testimony about what Exhibits 30 and Exhibit 28 are and what they include, and I don't see any reason for

subjecting the witness to an memory tests of what is in those bexhibits and how they are related to a each other. The witness has already given, as Irsaid, extensive testimony ease to what are in those various exhibits and what we discove MRC WELSHing Yes, but he has not to testified with a respectato what he considered to be improvements, if any eof the unparatus in one exhibit over another?

exhibit. falliagree with that, but the hadinot stated what he considers to be the improvements over the others.

but you ware now masking whimto make a comparison which requires a recall of the details of what is in each of those exhibits, and FidonAtSthinkait, is fair to ask the witness to recall this testimony which is tarted has thoughout believen to was, twith respect to abbut sthese exhibits, especially in the detail of required from ake tas comparison between two particular ones cate his recollection and effectively putting him to a test of of THE WITNESS: All agree that what you care casking me to demist to brecall earlier testimony.

60

0.

. 2.

A.

I did make such a comparison I could sit here and,
probably, scome up with one or two additional items at.
beyond the ball mgame, abutt I am not really proving a second
anything excepts that I can recall what transpired here
and what we discovered by going through the documentation
laboriously over the last ten or twelve sessionstus
(By Mr. welsh.) inAthleast you dotremember the ball
game feature? improvements over the apparatus of
Certainly at Ttis an outstanding feature.
Are you familiar with the 285 patent which reissued
ast Noth 285987re are many different apparatus in both
Yesthose patents, and the question is therefore value
How does the apparatus of that patent differ from the
apparatuse in the 507 patent?ed as an electron, are y
Well of the 28598 reisenr parent?
That's correct. MR. WILLIAMS: Again, I object.
You are asking for a detailed comparison of the
apparatus of two different patents each of which
shows a number of different circuits and particular
pieces of apparatus, and you are asking the witness
to state his recollection and effectively putting
him to a test of his memory which, really, has no
relevance to, this action at ali.

59

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

. Q.

Α.

Α.

 $Q_{a}$ 

A.

Q.

60

61

63

64

pieces of paper to THE WITNESS: : loquitecagree; fir. :
thinkethis absocompletely unfair ytowexpect mento relate
the details in ymany spatents before us without wreference
to athem and to a state odifferences or a similarities if com
memony.stlusimply.cannotodo that. It is ridiculous
(By Mr. mWelsh this Do ayou t consider, that the capparatus
which hresulted in the meissue patent, 28598 to have
contained tany improvements over the apparatus of
feissued patent 5072xpucted to recall that detail.
You'd have to rely MR. tWELETAMS as Again, we have to
note that there are many different apparatus in both
of vthose patents, and the question is therefore vague
and mindefinite the records did not refre n your
(By Mr. Websh.) You are named as an inventor, are you
not, edethes28598hreissuedpatent?you asked me to
That is correct; minutes ago refer to testimony which
Do youtknow owhat tyou minvented? I can't possibly
Whateverlittsaysein the tpatents: your question
Youidon't yknow, wyourself? or. 1/ . s not pricesed.
Mr. iWebsh, fthose patents are based on inventions
made, timesome casespiclose to naidecade ago. When
we wrote and by we Femdan the finyidiuals tooncerned as
herentmyselfyeRusch, yHarrison wrote internal

60

62

Q.

Q.

Α.

Q.

A,

Q.

A.

Q.

9

61

64

67

63

68

pieces of paper to the patent office disclosing facets of these games which, eventually, wound up in figures and, eventually, resulted in issued patents, we did that in good faith, based upon the intimate knowledge of who struck John in those days. It is ridiculous to ask me at this date to recall, specifically, who did what, and I'm not going to do it.

- Q. You do not know, I take it?
- A. I simply cannot be expected to recall that detail.

  You'd have to rely on the records which we went through in great detail and the integrity of the people involved.
- Q. Going through the records did not refresh your recollection?
- The elements of the record which you asked me to recollect a few minutes ago refer to testimony which we went through two months ago. I can't possibly recall all the details to answer your question definitely two months later. It is not practical.

  It is not fair.
- Q. Is believe the present question is directed to a development which we discussed just last week and as recently as yesterday.

66

67

-)

9

9

9

74

	Α.	If that sthe case, I do not understand your
	Α.	questionsictly on recollection, again, I baltave
69	Q.	DogyouhrecalleExhibito35 which weldiscussed yesterday
		and the characteristics of games playable with that?
	Α.	Yes, sir. ? ?
<b>7</b> 0	Q.	Do you recall Exhibit 30; which was used in the
	Λ.	demonstration to Teleprompter and the games which were
		possible with that?MR. WILLIAMS: Again, we've gons
	Α.	Yesqueirwhat you can play on both those exhabits, and
71	Q.	Comparing; then, Exhibity35 with Exhibita80 yodo you
		consider the apparatusyof Exhibit 35 to constitute an
		improvement over the other apparatus of Exhibit 130?
	. A.	Ibthinkayouraskedrthat question before, Mr. bwelsh.
		improvement of Exhibit answerniseyeset to Exhibit a
<b>7</b> 2	Q.	I believe before we were discussing Exhibit 30 with
		pespectato Exhibit 28 and this ganow, is Exhibit 35
		witherespectatonExhibitn30be other.
	Α.	Reconsidering the questions - Trans just machine
73	Q.	In other twords, the apparatus demonstrated to R.C.A.
		as compared to the apparatus demonstrated to Tele-
		prompter? I think the resourt will once which gives
	Α.	The answersyles stillsyes of the other
74	Q.	In what respects is The Wapparatus win 135 an improvement

<del>7</del>5

Q.

Α.

7.

9

7

7

overthat of Exhibit 30? Vi going thro in level

A. Going strictly on recollection, again, I believe that there are additional games playable with the apparatus of Exhibit 35 vis-a-vis those of the apparatus of Exhibit 30 the second the apparatus

Wellerhandball game, Ibbelieve, experition of what differences you committee with those exhibits, and the record will be fairly-clear astton what you can play on both those exhibits, and the record will be fairly-clear astton what you can play on wone and what hyou can tiplay on the others again, and find our MRetwelsh: Theorecord does not it shown what har baer, himself, considered to be sance. The provement of Exhibit 35 with respect to Exhibit 30.

you asked concerning specific games, which can be seed played on one and not por the other testifying what he thinks is the improvement it respect to it.

Teleprompter demonstrative records will show which games the transbellplayed, on one and note on the other he games that were demonstrative witnesses a well-tragreed with that

assessment. We spent days going through detailed paper work showing how we progressed on the bench from one piece of hardware to the next. Certainly, how could you have any more detailed expedition of improvements than that.

(By Mr. Welsh.) There is a detailed exposition of differences, perhaps, but not an exposition of what differences you considered to be improvements.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm afraid the only way to get at what you are doing, then, is to go back and go through that disposition of differences again and find out whether each one is an improvement. I don't think it is proper to have that witness repeat all that prior testimony.

MR. WELSH: I am not suggesting,
Mr. Williams, that that be done. I asked the witness
if he recalled this apparatus and testifying with
respect to it, that is, Exhibit 35, yesterday and
Exhibit 30 last week, which was with respect to the
Teleprompter demonstration. Perhaps he doesn't
recall any other improvements of Exhibit 35 with respect
to Exhibit 30, but he said he did recall the games
that were demonstrated with the apparatus. If he

76

Q.

considers certain gameshplayable with the later apparatus : Exhibit 35,5 to be an improvement; if he remembers Fithinknit ismappropriate for him to testify Withnrespectatoethemat If hepdoesnitaremember, then; hendgesnåt remembera close-kalt group of these peocle. I was in fTHEOWITNESS: Well, tI believe I mentioned chandballinas, being aefeature, of e351 noton ring availablerinu30:acVolleyball, Tabelieves is another onecraThedgolf gamecoputting game, which was playable with aneaftachmenth to Exhibit 35sdidlnot exist in Exhibit: 30rd Atlthebmoment; hthat is the limit of atheit improvementsatecanurecalloff a wall image? IBy Mr. rwelsh. Dea Soy the sonly eimprovements that you recallencomparingyExhibits@5rtoeExhibit 30, involve the capability of playing additional games, eincluding handballepwoldeyball, and the golf game? MRC WILLIAMS: FHe just stated hisly testimonyalshal Was it the same thing for both games? THE WITNESS: To the best of my recollection at the moment. (By Mr. Welshie) wandh yourdon noto recald what you contributed weistrike that is the feature of the ball

bouncing off a wall Did you have anything,

9*L* 

79

77

8.

78

Q.

	2 <sup>3</sup> p	specifically, to do with the development of the
ťΣ	r se	portions of Exhibit, 35 , which made it possible to with
		play these additional games?
	A.	Incanronly answer that by repeating my earlier testi-
81	2.	money that we were a close-knit group of three
		people. Lewasein frequent touch with the day by day
		activity on the bench, and, certainly, we all contributed
	Α.	torthelyarious facets of the many pieces of hardware
		we created and the concepts that made it possible.
<b>7</b> 9	Q.	Was the feature that made it possible to play
		handball and volleyball with Exhibit 35 the capability
		of a ball image bounding off a wall image?
	. A.	I'm sorry. I really lost the meaning of that
		question. Would you please repeat it?
		(The last question was read back
		by the reporter.)
8.5	2.	(By Mr. Melon ) w. THE-WITNESS: No Not necessarily.
80	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh,) Was it the same thing for both
	Α,	games?
85	A.	No -you wile start why the configuration for the
81	Q.	Was the feature which made it possible to play
		handball using Exhibit 35 the feature of the ball
		bouncing off a wall? The task queened was taken back

	Α.	Yes: A methor ter.
82	Q.	What feature made it possible to play volleyball with
		Exhibit 35? No. will I. WS: You sail we
	Α.	The presentation of a side view of a net symbol.
83	Q.	Did you have anything to do with conceiving the use
		of the side view of a thet symbol so you could play
		volleyball?
	Α.	I really don't recollect. You'd have to go back through
		the records tomestablish that.
EH	2.	Andt is that recall MR: WELSH: Let's take a break.
	Ř.,	int recollection i(Whereupon, oatall: 20 potclock; Same
		A. M., a shorthrecessdwas taken; a clear enough
		picture of what we had in mind when we invested the
		apparatus described in a set parant.
89		How did yoaFTERORECESShatll:25cAiMinal patent did not
		ecovey's clear enough picture of what you had in
84	Ω.	(BydMr; eWelsh.) no You are one of the inventors of meter
		pssue patent No. 28598, Exhibit 15, are you not?
	Α.	That shright. The I believe I have already territy to
85	Q.	Do you understand why the application for reissue
		of the 3659285 patent = could nI have what I just see
	* 4	saidhreadaback?were discussed and passets to were
		rained whather the (Theclastiquestionswas, read back

1-5

08

.18

		by the reporter.)
86	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) was filed?
Q		MR. WILLIAMS: You said
		MR. SELIGMAN: The number is wrong.
87	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) That question, again, do you under-
		stand why the application for this reissue patent
		28598 was filed?
	Α.	Well, I have a general recollection of why it was
		done at the time.
88	Q.	What is that recollection?
91	A ő	That recollection is that the original patent in some
		specific instances did not convey a clear enough
	, A.	picture of what we had in mind when we invented the
93	**	apparatus described in that patent.
89	Q.	How did you find out that the original patent did not
	A.	convey a clear enough picture of what you had in
93	¥.	mind when you invented the apparatus described in the
	Α.,	patent? 17.
94	Â:	Well, Mr. Welsh, I believe I have already testified
	Α.	to the fact that I attended one or several meetings
		in which the subject of certain definitions of items
95	Q.	in that patent were discussed and questions were
	Α.	raised "whether there were, in my opinion, better
	II.	

	8		ways to define that which we invented some years prior
86			than the words in the patents indicated.
	90	Q.	Did it occur to you, personally, prior to those
			meetings that the original patent did not convey a
87			clear enough picture of what you had in mind at the
			time of your invention?
	T 191		MR. WILLIAMS: Again, Mr. Baer,
			only if you recall.
			THE WITNESS: I don't recall,
88			specifically.
	91	٥.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Do you think there's a possibility
			it did occur to you?
	3.5	Α.	Yes.
	92	Q.	Did you discuss it with either Mr. Rusch or Mr.
89			Harrison prior to the meetings that you refer to?
		A.	I don't recall. I may have.
19	93	Q.	Have you ever read the original patent 3659285?
		Α.	Certainly.
	94	Q.	How many times have you read it?
₹		Α.	In the course of seven or eight years probably quite
	3 01	≪ 4	assfew times as the transfer to a second of
	95	Q.	Roughly how many Parama of a way and a control of the
		Α.	Localdnitebegin to guess.

96	Ω.	A rough approximation?
7117	Si e	Did you discuss the MR prw ILD HAMS: wiwell pyhessalready
		testified that he can't make a guess.
96a	Q.	(BylMrceWelshl), Youuhave no ideauatealthe opplied to
	Α.	That'shnot ambookdusYoundon'ttread it from cover to:
		cover. SI certainly looked at parts of it quite often.
97	Q.	What's the occasion iof your doing that?
	Α.	Well; as the erecord shows; there was tontinuing of
		activity won the general n TcV sagame yprogram nfor quite
		ahfewayears gianduaftenetheaassociation awith Magnavox
		and aduring that the gotiation for certainly had foce as ion
		toolookaatetheipatentsrtai:dy, I talked with Ar.
98	, Q•	Now; othe originallpatentaissued oneApril 25py1972; he
		thelMagnavox .negotiationsiwere prioritoethatetime; then
		werentheyenot?.
104	A.	They wereein #71 in rebelieve. nore than one patent, have
99	Ω.	Souyoutcouldn't have read the patent before that date?
	Α.	That's correctre that one patent in relation to ".V.
100	Ω.	Did yourread the application for the original patent?
105	A.	Certainly.
101	۵.	And that was at the time the application was filed,
106	Ω.	andyyoursigned ather papers in connection with the pers
		application? sign the paners for the different

96			
		Α.	Yesliyesions for the patents?
90	102	Q.	Did your discuss the application with anyone at that
968			time@ver federal law it is that determined while
~ <b>9</b> 6		Α.	Wellcocertainly; I must havendiscussed the application
	1 7	<i>3.</i>	with those individuals here at Sanders who were charged
			withnits opreparation. did you his was the
97	103	Q.	Donyou arecall, tspecifically, doing that?
		Α.	Again; tMr. Welsh; wedreutalking about a number of
			patents which were not necessarily all handled by
			the same individuals here at Sanders, and I couldn't
16			recall that, teither . "So I don't know, specifically,
			whotIMtalkedrwith: Certainly, I talked with Mr.
98		g.	Seligman repeatedly; because he prepared many of the
20			applications - I talked with Mr. Etlinger, maybe others.
			Irdon!turecall.
	104	Q.	You have been an inventor in more than one patent, have
66			youtnoted by the tour ways.
		Α.	Do you mean more than one patent in relation to T.V.
100		R	gamesnor in general?
	105	Q.	Insgeneral.
101	il .	Α.	<b>Yes</b> na sa value i i una e i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
. €'	106	Q.	Do wou follow any procedure when you sign the papers
	;		or when your sign the papers for the different min
	1		

34:			
102			applications for the tpatents? A in a limited of occ
01		Α.	Procedurediscoutlined by either company policy or by
- j <sub>C</sub>			whateverhfederal thaw it is that determines twhat it
			the company dpolicy must be in the first iplace.
; !	107	Q.	With respect to sthe woriginal dapplication of ort between
•			patent 3659285 here; did you discuss the as to
103			application with the attorney who prepared est withher
			respectate terminalogy used or accuracy of
Ľ	108	Q.	description3h.) In that a common procedure that you
			have followed with MR.spWHLLIAMSateLtobject cto the
			question asuto the details that were in discussions
		12 0	that Mr. Baer might have had with the attorney who
હક	103	. Q.	prepared ythe dapplication obecause mitris calling for tion
			information which his sprotected by the attorney-client
	* Company	λ.	privilege, and I caution Mr. Baer that he should not
104	11G	2.	answer any questions which would contain information
ن.	and the second		protected pyithat oprivilegeons to any suggestion of
			yours? THE WITNESS: I would like to
cci		A.	respond, Mr. Williams rby saying that whenever a
105	111	Q.	disclosuretis made fof the etype we tread from athis and
i Ç			morning ondcompany formats it frequently results
901		Α.	in exchange of econversation between ysome rindividual for
	aggrees.		in the patent office and the inventor at a minimum

so as to clarify what few words in a limited space on these disclosure forms really intend to describe. Beyond that, lift through one process or another it's been decided to go forward with an application, certainly, it is routinely additional contact between the inventor and the patent attorney so as to prepared a meaningful-application that represents what thetinventortthinkscistinvented. of working the (BytMr. Welsh.) or Isythate as common procedure that you have followed with respect to patent applications intwhich you've been anamed as an inventor? Yesenithis company policy among that close a with And did you do that in connection with the application for original patent 3659285? Yes have to guass at wall a surface of the series in sur Dosyoù recall whether any corrections were made in that application in response to any suggestion of yours2:10h, 350 I the only described to such a term What do you mean by corrections, Mr. Welsh? Changestafter you first received the application and readhit and discussed it with the attorney. fledon't, believe there's a simple yes or no answer for that; question. the 'average: "

101

EC

108

Q.

A.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

0.

Α.

113

109

401 110

111

11.

Do you recall whether -- strike that. 112 Q. You say there's no simple yes or no answer. Is there any answer to the question? Well, in real life in any such situation I would think there are always problems relating to the understanding of the attorney or what was really intended, several go-arounds to clarify it, or if you want to equate the clarification of questions on the 108 part of the attorney as corrections, yes, there were The second of the contract of corrections made. 113 Q. With respect to the application for the original patent 3659285, did you examine that alone or with Mr. Baer -- I mean Mr. Rusch and Mr. Harrison also 109 present? I'd have to guess at what happened. I am certain each A. one of us, individually, read the drafts for the application, probably, at various stages during their generation, and I can only guess that we must have had many discussions between us on the subject. It is only natural. A walled proceed a new to war a to 111 114 At the time of the filing of the application when you Q. read it did it accurately describe what you then

thought to be the invention? I were

	Α.	Certainly. (An ereupen, the document assets
115	Q.	Do you recall at that htime whether you questioned any
113	2.	-
		of the terminology that wwas hused? ere as a long to the
	Α.	Focan to fecall that now, Mr. Welshar sign ture, Mr.
		Seligman. I wonworMR: WELSH: htOffithebrecord!
		ask the reporter to (Discussion soft the record.)
116	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) O. KierMr. Baer, sin aufile above-
		marked 2837 stamped work copy on the routside which
117	Ω.	has been produced by Mr. Seligman as work copyifileer
		corresponding to the application for original patent
		No. 3659285 sthere appear to be two copies of a draft
		of patent application with interlineations. I ask you
		now to examine these interlineations and tadvise me if
		any wor them were placed there by you? I'll hand you,
		first, this copy. THE WITNESS: Mr. Wolsh, I'm not
		sure which of these (Document shanded ato the twitness at.
		by Mr. Welshole series here. Where does the new
		material start, or THE WITNESS re Justolooking maththe
		first few pages, the answer is yes, some of the
		form lineations were placed there by myself.
	100	MRJ WEESH on Mr. Seligman joundy we
		mark that draft as an exhibit; please. I'm all cone.
118	u.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Dimryosemigmanny Yeser documents in
	1	

there with notes and Whereupon, the document abovereferred to was marked Sanders' Exhibit 38 which we Exhibit No. 38 for Identification.) MR. WELSH: There are also two No. I d.d nor. copies of a letter or memo with your signature, Mr, Seligman. I wonder if we might mark those? I little on ask the reporter to mark this as 391 after the bleck. Before having had ti (Whereupon, the document abovereferred to was marked Sanders' now, in conjunction Exhibit No. 39f for Identification. (By Mr. Welsh.) Mr. Baer, there are other copies here with interlineations, also .. Would you please go get through these and advise me if any of them is in your handwriting?first place, and I guess some of the derivative names an (Documents handed to the witness by Mr. Welsha ) which, I believe, if I recollect correctly, I ascrib THE WITNESS: ab Mr. Welsh . I monote sure which of these documents you want me to look at. There's a whole series here. Where does the new material start, or do you want me to go through the wholerstack?h.) What did you see that carsed you to wish to correct youMR. WELSH: Off the record. Well, looking at 28 (Discussion off the record. Marrison, Eusch, and THE WITNESS: 0. Kayalim all done. (By Mr. Welsh.) baDidayou findt any other documents in

117

Q.

119

Q.

A.

Q.

there with notations in your handwriting other than Exhibit 38 which we've already marked?

A .

Now,I did mot viewing the file of the 205 octobe, on I understand covreMRy WELSH: t Let subreak for nunch. that the bounding THE WITNESS: We One other observation. Maybe you delike to defer that until after the break. Before having had the opportunity to go through 285, now, in conjunction with looking for entries in my handwriting, I believe I made a mistake in testifying earlier here within the last hour or so as to who gets the credit for the original thoughts on the bouncing ball in the first place, and places ysome of the at derivative names such as tennis, handball, sand some of wine others which ghi believe put in I crecoblect put os correctly, havascribed to Rusch about an hour tago here. That's manifestly wrong lift that's what Todid an I am happyt to see that I'm alsos inventor of those details, in sufficient despite of a bite this than (By Mr. Welsh.) What did you see that caused you to wish to correct your testimony? Well, Blocking at 285, clearly, three of us, that is,

119

Q.

121

Q. Α. Harrison, Rusch, and myself were co-inventors with

respect to the ball action details I just described,

1 0 1

1-

Q.

120

1.77

And Tathink I characterized the origin incorrectly

Now, after reviewing the file of the 285 patent, do

I understand correctly that it is your testimony
that the bouncing ball feature was one of co-invention
loftall three of you; you, Mr. Rusch, and Mr.

MR. WILLIAMS: I would comment that he's not thoroughly reviewed that thing. He's glanced through it for a specific purpose on to reserve part of his testimosTHE WITNESS: hand Ilagree, tif I's can lidelike to have the opportunity of looking at ... this material more closely, because, dasal said, that it having looked through it hurriedly for another purpose I seem to have discovered an error in my testimony this morning I want to bring to your attention, u but Incentainly didn't have a chance to look in the material in sufficient depth to make sure just what my/groundinisty as you obsust over ine it in your last (BysMran Welsh.) Now, when you say credit for the original thoughts of the bouncing ball udid you mean bouncing of the ball image off of a player image?

121

Q.

A. Yes. (The last quartion with fear back

122	Q.	And did you also mean the bouncing of a ball image
		off of a wall image? R. windings: And my operation
	Α.	Yes! I stands. He said he did not have that feeling,
123	Q.	So that now you feel that the correct, in fact,
124	Si.	situation is that all three of you thought of the
		bouncing feature with respect to both bouncing of
	Α.	of the player image and bounding off of the wall image?
		would like to have MR. WILLIAMS: 131 object to the
		question as a characterization of his testimony. THe
		said that reviewing the file he had occasion to reassess
		part tof mis testimony, but that he would like to have
		an opportunity to go through other papers to determine
		what the thrinks the facts to be fathe did not say that he
		presently has a firm conviction of what those facts
125	Q.	ard, you reach that conclusion because you saw a
		bounce, feature in the WELSH: Lip don't think I used ha
	٠.	the term conviction; wr. williams inventors:
	A.	Well, certainly, time. WHITEIAMS : Well, the did notes
		give testimony as you characterize it in your last
		question: ion, i bettern addresses attended.
		MR. WELSH: Could you read that
		question please?

a recess for lunch was tak not

(The last question was read back he.

by the reporter An Sasson 100 P.S. MR. WILLIAMS: And my objection still stands: .) Hessaidahe did not have wthat feeling, U. asrIcunderstandit 38, which you indicated open direct (By Mr. tWelsha) bisythat a correct statement; bMr. Q. Baerasasithenfactsinowdappear to you? Perhaps, yes, but I (wouldelike todrepeat that Inass Α. would like to have the opportunity of looking at, especially, 284 and T285 on some other date to refresh myrmemory; ybecause; obviously, dItwasimixedsup, on that pointsearlier today; andmitts onlygaacursory:examination of 285 in 2 connection with going through this the folder that alerted amen to the fact there was something wrong with myitestimonygthis morningento the draft. Did you reach that conclusion because you asaw a Q. bounce=feature in the 285 application papers, and that is in the name of all three of you as inventors? A. Well, mcertainly; ethat! shyhat sveausing me to reassess A. my statement of this morning which, to the best of my recollection of believe, indicated otherwise. MR. WELSH: Well, let's break A. forldunch.identify dxhibit in 38 is a Kerok copy (Whereupon, fat 12:45: odclock; Par M., a recess for lunch was taken.)

122

123

126

124

NAL STATE

128

125

		Docket NeAFTERNOON SESSION 1:30 P.M.
13	54°	Do you recognise that as nameds of the action of the
126	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Mr. Baer; I hand you what has been
	A .	marked las Exhibit 38, which you mindicated contained
		some notes made by you Lalso hand you Exhibit 39
3 3 1	i se e	and askyifnyou could eidentify that?
	Α.	I don't know. (Documents handed to the witness
132	Sud o	by Mr. Welshok?
	.1.	The figures are repTHE WITNESS: thYes; lExhibit 39 tisea
		carbon copy.cofha memoraddressed to Bill Rusch, that
		Harrison, and myself from Mr. Seligman with reference
173	ù.	to Docket No. 2837 in which we re lasked to review the
		draftncopy of the spatent application and asked to make
	Α,	changes by writing changes directly onto the draft.
127,	Q.	(By Mr. Welsha) Do you recalls receiving a scopy of
		that memo?
	A.,	No. 1 Fidon't recall st one appears or page 6 ar tro
128	Q.	That memordoes set forth, thowever, the procedure that
	8.	you Sindicated you had followed with other applications,
100	Q.	isothat (correct?otation that you placed there.
	A.	Yes, simil to read, because it's bear pressed out and
129	Q.	Would you didentify Exhibit 383 th the water to Remark
	Α.	38 lisea Kerox copy of a draft for patent application
	1	

		Docket No. 2837. generally will res for the party
130	Q.	Do you recognize that as a draft of the application
		for your patent No. 3659285?
	Α.	Well, I would have an easier time recognizing it if
		I had the figures that went with the 2837
131	Q.	Aretthey in that file? I to the in the second 8, -
	Α.	Itdon'taknowasa itton page 9 " tha . enter of the
132	Q.	Would you look? out a word " 'beld o' in from of the
	Α.	The figures are represented in the file several times
		over. Using the figures as an aid, it appears that
		is the draft from the 285 patent application.
133	Q.	Now, you indicated earlier, I believe, that Exhibit
	ř.	38 containst some notations made by you? Live to
	Α.	Yes, si did. vill distroent ses - 1 . Br : dinsh ::
134	Q.	Would you point out where those notations are
		hocatedonind the words   Lerver sladh reset dail 1. 1
	Α.	All right. The first one appears on page 6 at the
		end of the paragraph starting with the word figures
17	Q.	SALSCADAR to a star of the same and the same of the sa
135	Q.	And what s the notation that you placed there?
	<b>A.</b>	It's hard to read, because it's been crossed out and
138	¥.	then, evidently, reinstated with the word "O. K." I
		believe it says figure 80 are schematic control used
	ı	

to produce whith generator voltages for the primary flip flop shown in figure 9. The next appearance of a correction is on page 8 -- page 9, I'm sorry. There's a discrepancy here. This page is labeled page 9 in the upper right-hand corner by hand and under it on the left-hand margin in typing it says page 8, so it is the handwritten page 9 in the center of the page. I crossed out a word "shielded" in front of the word "shielded cable," and somewhere further downstream I entered the words br unshielded with respect to the use of twin lead." Do you want me to go on? Yes, please. On handwritten page 10, the third line after the words "Dot will disappear and -- " I put a slash mark and the word "or" into the text, and then two lines below behind the words "Served slash reset switch" I corrected the word switch to the word switches by adding and E and an S. With what type of an instrument were those entries placed there? Red pencil. And was the same thing true of the other entries that you indicated as being yours?

130

131

132

141

140

Q.

Α.

Q.

A.

A.

Q.

133

134

128

135

138

Α.	Not the first one Inindicated as being mine was in t
	standard black pencil and was crossed out subsequently
	with redepencil and then, I think, annotated again
	with the word "O.K. " in red pencil he wild horsin
Q.	In think your next page is page 9 was where yoube
	indicated there was an entry? the real meaning by
Α.	Yes; we already readathat intoltherrecord. We world
Ω.	Yes; but I wassasking what type tofs instrument was a lead
	used3r.
A.	Red pencilorand the same thing applies to pagenletion
Q.	Now, there appears some red pencilimarking, on a page
	that hascalhandwritten No. 8 on it.
A.	Well, that might thave been mines the saundecipherable.
	Itwisea squiggleathatheresses?out a word.
Ä.	Yes. I think they The nextMentrylinmmy handwriting
	in tredrpencial isgenipage bar handwrittenahar a an
	sentence was added whether lead paragraph. rebo you
s	wantime tonread whatathelsentencehis? **cond paragraph
Q.	Yeser the words "Hit dot from on aff-scream " I
Α.	The sentence cistrd "Control 54 may be manipulated by
	meansnofaaknobsor maytbe part of a mechanism such as
	ahjoyestickpe andotherends sanother correction in the
	first hbineroff that last iparagraph ion othersame npage

.1

what I thought they were! There sethe word herein: that looks like hereinother that was meant to be hereinafter, and Temphasized the real meaning by putting red pencil marks, Tandu Excorrected the word PEnglish wint tenglish control dto start with a capital Petter. The -- following the end of the second paragra Was that correction "made to some other interlineation ? Yes, it was made to an interlineation made, I believe by Mr. Seligman. mly voltages il and St. " On the Therems other interlineations on the pages you vers covered soefant care there anoten two sentences in the WestleIofhink theytarerallaMr. aSeligman sorbut "I" m not sureraton page 20 is the next appearance of an interlineation in my handwriting, again; yin red pencil: tinthe seventh line of the second paragraph afterstheswords "Ait dot from an off-screen -2" I interject the words "position on one side of the

screen" and cross out the word "left," and then on

out the word "right position" and interject "on the

the next line I go on and say "An off-screen -- " cross

which I can't decipher, because it seems to be -- it

seems as though I merely added a red line emphasis to

make sure that the letters as I read them really were

143

0.

Α.

Q.

Α.

144

1

14

147

other side of the screen each time the flip flop etc. The next occurrence of an interlineation is on page 22 about half-way down the page where behind the word "output from the wall dot generator" I inject "or line." Fldon t know. I think the next word was meant for -- or there is just an O there followed by the word bar generator. The next occurrence is on page handwritten 24 with an additional sentence behind the -- following the end of the second paragraph. The sentence says, "The horizontal position of paddles A and B are similarly controlled by controls and knobs to supply voltages Eleand E2." con the og a bottom of page 31 is an entry which by reference is meant to be interjected between two sentences in the middle of the first paragraph after the words, "The dot generator 142 Cha Following is meant to be interjected: "Amplifiers may be "eliminated by special construction of the joy stick coupling to the potentioneters so as to produce a larger voltage swing directly from the potentiometers. " And at the bottom, on the bottom Line of the first paragraph the word "with" is erossed tout; lagain, in red pencil. on page 48 in the line that starts with the word photocell I crossed out

Α.

the word "and," a-n-d, and injected "and hitting" so that the whole sentence reads: "By aiming, shooting at, and hitting the dot." On the next page, page 49, two-thirds of the way down the first paragraph the line that starts with the word "remain" I correct the handwritten word "their," t-h-e-i-r, to t-h-e-r-e, "there" in red pencil. Now, under claim 16 -- I can't read the page number. 58, it's 58. I correct the next to last sentence of the first paragraph by crossing out the word "dot" and substituting the word "bar." Was that a claim on that page? Yes, the whole sentence read "Means for generating a 'net dot'" which I changed to read "Means for generating a 'net bar.'" That was claim 16? The beginning of claim 16. Claim 17 on page 59, the last word was changed from "dot" to "bar" in red pencil. Page 61 on the second line the word f-o-r, for, "for generating", is crossed out in red pencil. Under claim 20 in the first sentence the X behind the word "screen" is crossed out. Was that earlier correction on that page in claim 19? Yes, there were earlier corrections which reproduced

141.

:43

14.1

146

150

145

Q.

A.

Q.

Α.

Α.

100

		a Xerox on my copy. THE SITE OF ACTION OF THE STATE OF TH
-	٠	precisely what the MRINWELSH: thems referring to your
		correction!
152	'a' +	(By Mr. Welsh.) DoTHEUWITNESS: GWe just/went through
	Å.	that: behatals therwordsftorritfor.before. too, at.
148	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Yes, I just asked if that I was in
		claim 1908 that to me it means a display which dres
	Α.	Yes, that's right quit's half-way ndown in claim: 19.
		standards. That appears to be all the
1-3	est o	corrections Is made to this copy of the vdockets anythin
149	Q.	Now, that draft contains the reference to television
		receivers, does it hot? WILLIAMS: Acaim, if you
	Α.	Yes, lit does.
150	Q.	In fact, the second line refers to standard monochrome
		and color television receivers, is that correct to type
	Α.	Yest that sbcoffect.in general usage.
151	Q.	What did the terms standard television strike
	λ.	that as in the boses, for example.
c +	હો.	And did such recenvwhatidid the word television
	i	receiver mean to you at the time you reviewed that
156	wl a	application vivion servers at this time include 1.F
		and R.F. sections: MR. WILLIAMS: If you recall, Mr.
		Baer. Ma. WILLIAMS: At what!

1.4. 1		THE WITNESS: Well, I don't recall
		precisely what the term meant to me at that time.
		How could I?
152	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Do you recall, generally?
	Α.	We've been all over this territory before, too, Mr.
		Welsh. I believe I answered it before as I'm going to
		answer now that to me it means a display which uses
		Raster scan techniques in accordance with industry
		standards.
		Did the word standard television receiver mean anything
153	Q.	
	r .	different than television receiver to you?
		MR. WILLIAMS: Again, if you
159	vi-	recall.
		THE WITNESS: Well, to the best of
		my recollection, it simply meant receiver of the type
		that would be found in general usage.
154	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Such as in the homes?
	Α.	Such as in the homes, for example.
155	Q.	And did such receivers include R.F. and I.E. sections?
	Α.	Yes, home receivers would, certainly.
156	Q.	Did allotelevision receivers at that time include I.F.
		and oR(Riosections 2 At state as the 2 at the air or
		near the cable of pMResWILLIAMS: FoAt.what?F. And I.F.

157	Q.	(By:Mr. Welsh.) At the time he examined this draft
167	٧.	ofythe application. television received, within your
		knowledge, at that MRusWILLIAMS: aAgain; MrecBaer
		well, I guess I object to the question as lacking
		foundation: There's year tainly no testimony in this
		record which awill state that a Mr. Baerthad knowledge of
		all television receivers and what they consisted of.
		receivers which nothe Witness: Marehyou still realting
		foreanianswer@roadcast or oablecast signals in general
158	Q.	(ByuMredWelsh.) rdYest. Front ends simely because the
	Α.	SorryatslithoughtoMr.bWilliamsetookecare of your
1 1	Q.,	questionWelsh.   Were you families a the my television
159	Q.	Well; whe may have tried to answer itafortyou; but he
		simply entered an objection. If you understand the
	Α.	question, dwould wourd ease answer title a use se set
		hung up in the sume MRinWILEJAMS: of object to thems
		characterizations of the objection astattempting to.
		answerltherquestion: before, Mr. Wolch, I also reparted y
		that I don't age the THE: WITNESS: bSorry: thettme, answer
		the question by saying that any television receiver
		meantuforothehreceptiontofotransmittedosignalsoby of a comment
		television broadcast stations through the airsors as
		overnthemodble of necessity had to have Ref. and I.F.
	H .	1

}		
		Sections.over a cable is unich asserved con asserved
	_	
160	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) coso television receivers, within your
		knowledge aththat time had I.F. and R.F. sections?
162	Si -	Dis you see the disMR: WILLIAMShatItdon't think that
	ñ.	is what his testimony hwas hand I object to the see a
		question as almischaracterization of the testimony.
		on the subject. THE WITNESS: You said those T.V.
163	5 u	receiversuwhich Ishaveffamiliarity which required the
		reception of broadcasteor toablecast signals in general
		required R.F. and I.F. front ends simply because the
	À.	transmissionwas done by R.F. carrier? language at
161	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Were you familiar with any television
164	si e	receiver at that a time that a did wnot have the R.F. fand
		F.F. rsections? 9285 prior to the time in was issaed?
	Α.	I find it difficult to answer that because we get
		hung upcinothebsame line again, toftdifferentiating by
		between antelevisionereceiver and a television monitor,
		and all through that before, Mr. welsh, I said repeatedly
		thatriedon tisee therdifference between the two; and
		theedifferencetbetween the two is Strictly one that s
		ahresultlofithetapplicationsof the display, whether it's
		inmanrenvironmentethaterequiresvRtF. transmission as
		anaintermediate or where video gets shipped out

8 2, 3	al e	directly over a cable in which case you can dispense
		with the front end, meaning the R.F.'s and I.F.'s, but
	2	I don't see the difference.
162	Q.	Did you see the difference at that time?9235 on A.c.
	Α.	I couldn't have : I thought about what to me is generic
		definition. How could I have andifferent opinion
	A.,	on the subject.
163	Q.	Did you question any of the language in that draft
		of the application other than those portions which you
	2 160	have corrected at the time that you made the corrections?
	Α.	Indon't, recall questioning any of the language at
		that time imita
164	Q.	Did you have occasion to review the application for
		patentaNo.w8659285 prior to the time it was issued?
	Α.	I think we've been through that, also No specific
		recollection, but it is natural that almost invariably
		happens in the course of preparing an application.
		There satcertain amount of interchange between the
168	Ω.	attorney making it orypreparing the draft and the
		inventorsafornthenusual reasonsthasoil, can only draw
	Α.	the conclusion that, yes, indeed, I must have had a
169	Q.	number of prior occasions to review the beginnings of
	Α,	that draft anot recall which came first.
	I	

165	Q.	On those other occasions do you recall questioning
	V	the meaning of the language?
1	Α.	No, I do not.
166	Q.	Subsequent to the issuance of patent 3659285 on April
		25, 1972, did you have or have you had occasion to review
		the language of that application or patent?
. 7.	Α.	Yes, indeed.
167	Q.	And on any of those occasions did you question the
		meaning of the language in the patent?
7 7	A .	I don't know whether I can say that I question the
		meaning, but, certainly, the possible interpretation
	8	and the limitations which the descriptors give it in
		the patents place on the whole concept of T.V. games
174	Q.	I thought were getting protection.
		MR. WELSH: Could you read that
	Α.	answer back, please?
		(The last answer was read back by
175	ų.	the reporter.)
168	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Did you recognize such interpretation
		and limitations independently, that is, by yourself?
	Α.	I can't recall that, Mr. Welsh.
169	Q.	On did someone else call them to your attention?
	Α.	Is really cannot recall which came first.
	ı	I

170	Q.	When didtyou, firstubecome aware of the limitations
	Α.	Again, I cannot pin a specific date on that situation.
171	Q.	Can you pin a specific circumstance on that situation?
	Α.	Yesin, Notra specific circumstance, butfalseries of
		circumstances, aineparticular, the appearance in public
		ofscointoperated videoygamesective of the intent of
172	Q.	Whenididayou first become cawarenof such games ? granting
	Α.	Indthave toelookcintoethecrecordsato refreshtmytele-
		memory.relfileam, not mistaken, itwwasasometime incl 72.
173	Q.	Atathat time did you examine the languaged of wpatent
		Noif3659285?n interpreting the applicability of our
	Α.	Sometimetafter having been made aware of the existence
177	M v	of video games Indidyexaminet the ewordage in 285 ant
174	Ω.	Did yourdrawhthe, conclusion6 that that wordage teontained
		limitations byayourself?, standard black and white
	Α.	Trfind itTimpossible to reconstruct just what
		happened, Mr. Welsh . WILLIAMS: I object to the
175	Q.	Didsyounconvey that thought to anyone else?
	Α.	Well, just when discussionsHonIthatusubjectustartedis
		between myself and the members of the patent department
		Ti can't pin-point. Certainly, there were ensuing
		discussions on the relationship between yideo games
		and our patentsck, pleaser
	H	

01,	176	Q.	Was it, then, in such suggestions that the interpreta-
			tionbofrtherlanguage of the 285 patent having limita-
141			tions came up? MR. WILLTAMS: well, if you are,
6,		Α.	Again at strictly ngoing efrom nmemory halafelt that the
			references to la television receiver are extremeley extremeley
			restrictive; not really reflective of the intent of
172			the invention; and I was concerned from the beginning
13			that the specific references in many places to tele-
			vision receivers, and only in a few places to Raster
173			scansor display devices or some other words would cause
			difficulty in interpreting the applicability of our
			patents tocvideoagames. to best a much a terms of
	177	Q.	Butididn#t you when you first prepared your patent
174	178	4.	dischosurelsheet, TExhibit 36-1, 2 and 3, contemplate
			only, absent states there, standard black and white
		Α.	or color Tivesetske word whilestone than we had
			discussion on that MR WILLIAMS: I object to the
175	179	Q.	question.
98.		Α.	But I also distinct MR.rWELSH: I think the question, is
			quite clear, Mr. Williams, and I'd like to have the
			witnessuahswerkitausch and Harrison bei 1 talle 2000:
ea:			many other forms, of MR. WILLIAMS:   Would you read
			thel question back, pleased the ut when I have

(The last question was read back by the reporter.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, if you are, by that question, attempting to characterize his previous testimony as to this document, then I object to the question as an improper characterization, but you may answer the question.

THE WITNESS: In all candor, from the very beginning there's no question playing television games on a commercial T.V. set was predominant in my mind because it made the most economic sense to attempt such an attempt to build such a piece of equipment.

- Q. (By Mr. Welsh.) That's because there were so many available?
- A. So many. I used the word ubiquitous when we had a discussion on that subject.
- Q. Yes.
- A. But I also distinctly remember, I think I said that before, that it wasn't very long afterwards that individuals like Rusch and Harrison and I talked about many other forms of T.V. gaming, in particular, very early in the game we talked about, with Solomon,

178

Α.	we stalked about the desirability of playing games in
	bars and places like bars, public places in which
	you could save money and prevent an F.C.C. radiation-
	type problem by eliminating the unnecessary R.F. to
	oscillator modulator cat the machine end, and the R.F.
Sc	front end on the receiver end which are tonly necessary
	when you find yourself in a home environment when you
	cannot possibly reach into the television set, because
	you don't have control over the television set. It's
Ži "	an existing device sitting in somebody's home.
Q.	Now, at the time application for patent 3659285 was
	filed, were you familiar with coin-operated amusement
	devices? 3659295 as Exhibit 48.
Α.	Well, in general I knew of their texistence. There
	were such things as pinbald machines and other coin-
	operated electromechanical previous, 2 but of had no close
	familiarity: with them ken.
Q.	Did you state that prior to the time you had the
	thought of the T.V. games the first time you had not
	seen any coin-operated amusement devices?
A.	Are you asking me whether I stated that once upon one
Q.	time % : Welsh. ) Mr. ".er, ? hast you ashibit 40 which
Q.	Yes a partified copy or a f ' written on contents of

	Α.	I'm Sorry: oltdon't recollect exactly what I said in.
		exceptethat you took issue with my statement that are to
		I had never seen a pinball machine before which I
	Ži .	didn'ttsay. I said I never paid any attention to
185	2.	them; hwhichywas aiffacture on the paper called on the
183	Q.	Noweratithe timerthe applications for patent13659285
		was filed were you not still primarily interested in
186	2.	taking advantaged of the availability of the
	Α.	ubiquitous humber of T.V. sets in homes?
187	А.	No question about that before you nigned it?
	A.	Yes, sir. MR. WELSH: I'd like the reporter
18.8	٥.	toemarkethisacertifiedycopyeof the file wrapper of a
		patenttNoct 3659285 as Exhibit 40.
	A .	I believe it does. (Whereupon, the document above- referred to was marked Sanders'
109	Q.	And at the time you Exhibit No. 40 for Identification.
		had you read the for Whereupon, att 2015 o'clock, P. M.
	Α ,	a short recess was taken.)
190	ú.	As of that date, Anguar 10, 1960, had you become
		aware of any coin-operated video games?
	it.	No, sir. AFTER RECESS 2:45 P.M.
192	Silv	I might have esked vo. this, but I have vos vos seem
184	Q.	(By Mrs. Welsha) Mr. Baer, I hand you Exhibit 40 which
		is a certified copy of a file wrapper on contents of

	$\Lambda$ .	the 285 patent, included, and Iwdirect your attention
		to page 61 and ask if that is your signature appearing
		on that page?hem from two sources. Sheepes mertion "
	Α.	Yes, ritois: ance in I believe, a Mary MY on the
185	Ω.	And that s, your signature on the paper called on the
		powereof an attorney and petition in the file?
	Α.	Yes. Rusch wrote a memo, I believe, to Fr. E-linger on
186	Q.	And whatedate didtyou signhthat rearance of clin-
	Α.	August-187-1969ames, and I not a copy of that men
187	Q.	Didwyousread that papertbefore you signed it?
192	A.	Yesvosittow if that's here!
188	Q.	The papert states that you read the foregoing application
		doesnit not? that since it was written, so who know.
	Α.	I believe it does. Am. WELSH: Off the record.
189	Ω.	And at the time you signed the paper or prior thereto
		had you read the foregoing application? work this?
	Α.	Yes, I had. MR. SELIGHIN: Sure, yes.
190	Q.	As of that date, August 18,71969, had you become
		aware of any coin-operated video games?
	Α.	No, sir. (W'esemon has de cument love-
191	Ω.	I might have asked you this, but I hope you'll bear
193	yà.	with me. We Doh you recall, vroughly, when you first saw:
		such coin-operated games?

Α.	You did ask it, and I said I wasn't exactly sure.
	Not only that, I didn't first see them, but I first
	heard about them from two sources. Someone mentioned
	their appearance in I believe, ia Navy PX on the
	West Coast, and then Bill Rusch either sawsor someone
	pointed out to himsthat the ior she had seen tatmachine,
	and Rusch wrote a memo, I believe, to Mr. Etlinger on
Se o	the subject reporting on the appearance of coin-
7. s	operatedNT.Vwagames, and Togotapeopyof that memo
	orswashshownsa copy of the memo.
٥.	Dodyou know if cthat's herehere bout which you were
Α.	Lpthinkgithsthere somewhere wDon'tcaskime where e
	the knows
	haven'tfseennthatrsinceTit.was written, so who knows.
A i	Yes, it is. MR. WELSH: Off the record.
A.	
	Yes, it is. MR. WELSH: Off the record.
	Wes, it is. MR. WELSH: Off the record.  Bo you know when in (Discussion off the record.)
	Wes, it is. MR. WELSH: Off the record.  Do you know when in (Discussion off the record.)  1973 you received MRrewELSH: Could we mark this?
Q,	MR. WELSH: Off the record.  Do you know when in (Discussion off the record.)  1973 you received MRrewELSH: Could we mark this?  At a Navy installarMRa?SELIGMAN: Sure, yes.
Q,	Wes, it is. MR. WELSH: Off the record.  Do you know when in(Discussion off the record.)  1973 you received MRrewELSH: Could we mark this?  At a Navy installatMRa?SELIGMAN: Sure, yes.  Rither a month GIMRwowELSH: a Lynow task the reporter

(By Mr. Welsh.) I hand you Exhibit 41 and ask of you

would replease reidentify it. a control on capy of the

1 4

192

96

197

193

Q.

			file wroper and co(Document handed to the witness by
			Mr. Welsh. print so. 28 38.
			THE WITNESS: thexhibit 41 is a scopy
			of a memo from Rusch to Lou Etlinger dated 9 July,
78;	198	Q.	(73, in which he reports that his son has seen coin-
			operated T:V. games in various locations at the near-by
			beaches: on, power of alterney, and assent of
931	194	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh. MorThatsis near to here? 4 4 1 tost
		Α.	Near to New Hampshire or New Hampshire or near by
137			Massachusetts.
192	195	Q.	And is that a copy of the memo about which you were
168	1 .	4.	speaking that was one of the ways you first became
		A	aware of coin4operated T.V. games?
	200	A.	Ves, yet is those that desired
091	196	Q.	Do yourknow when in relation to this date of July 9,
1	201	Q.	1973 Nyou received a report of coin-operated games
1		A.	attasNavýfinstallation@onest in the parage des riment
061		Α.	Eithersa month or two before or after this memo
	202	hd «	was rwritten; the document to get for any attire
1	197	ğ.	Butowithing ammonth or , two culturally, elener. arobaba
1		Α.	sometime, probably, in the summer of '73.
193	202 a	ч.	There are other sigMRtuWEESH: tild like the reporter,
			now; to mark as Exhibit 42 a certified copy of the
55			

		The second secon
	30 3 H	file wrapper and contents of the application resulting
JC >	10a S	in reissue patent No. 28598.
	, i a	No, on the followin (Whereupon, 2 the document above- referred to was marked Sanders'
204	₩.*	were they present wExhibit No. 42 for Identification.)
198	Ω.	(By Mr. Welsh.) I now hand you Exhibit 42 and direct
265	٧.	your attention to a five-page document entitled
	Au	declaration, power of attorney, and assent of
206	Sal a	assignee and, more specifically, to page 4 of that
	1 -	document I ask is that your signature appearing on
		that npage 3d any letter lant to the late.
257	A.	Yes, that samy signature han the usual declaration or
199	Q.	And when did You place Your signature there? Is It
	Α.	April 23.t1974 ght there. It's open to that page.
200	Ω,	Did you compose that document?
209	Ą.	No, ysirbelieve you read the document because in wan
201	Q.	Douyoutknew whordid composecit?nts:
	A.	Not specifically the Personnel in the patent department
		doguess: and I don't sign a document I don't red
202	۵.	Who presented the document to you for signature?
	Α.	Indon tyremember that, specifically, either. Probably
7	Su c	eitheruMradEtlingertor MrauSeligman. you read it
202 8	۷,	There are other signatures on that same page, are
731	4.	there motions athere, and is is place? in to: Elist
	¥	

Ī

	Α.	Yes, sir, Bill, Rusch's and Mr. Harrison's forested
203	Q.	And did other sign on the same day? to a foregoing
	Α.	No, con the following day, the 24th of April, +574
204	Q.	Were they present when you signed them? ions of the
	A.	Ladon't remember. I don't believe so. not
205	Q.	Did you read the paper before you signed it?
21.	A).	Yes, ybudid thalky resu those when you on past the dis-
206	Q.	Do you have a specific recollection of that? the course
	Α.	No, the more than I have a specific recollection of
		having tread any sletter Inget in the tmail.
20 <b>7</b>	Q.	This document is bonger than the usual declaration or
213	, T. W	such sas the one that wou signed in Exhibit 40, is it:
		not?siThat's right there. It's open to that page.
	Α.	Wesl, if not in this specific for it, certainly is
208	Q.	Do eyou chelieve you read the document because it was
,114	Q.,	your custometooread suchhdocuments? was the craft
	Α.	YestheMore I than that crwe hare required a to sign the 113
		document; sanddItdontt sign a document I don't read.
209	Q.	Insits entirety? an error.
2 <b>)</b> 5	A .	Entirety; ccertainly: the the subject of a which
210	Q.	Didayoutunderstand the document when you read it?
	Α.	Pobelievetat theitimehlidid. a a nest of the foregoing
211	Q.	Thecdocumentastates, and it is placed in the first

2(

A.	person pluraly in part: "We have read the foregoing
	specifications and claims." Now, the foregoing
Q.	specifications and claims are, if you refer to what's
A.	ahead of the document, are cut out portions of the
	patent, the original patent, are they not?
Α.	Yes.a.
Q.	Did you actually read those when you signed the doucment?
Α.	I know that I read them all repeatedly over the course
	of the week preceding the signature of the document.
	It certainly was fresh in my mind at the moment I
	signed the document.
Q.	You say you read them repeatedly in the weeks before
'A' a	you signed the document?
Α.,	Well wif not in this specific format, certainly in
A.	the docket form which we looked at this morning.
Q.	Now, what we looked at this morning was the draft
	of the application for the original 285 patent. Did
	you understand that?
Α.	Yes, I guess I am in error.
Q.	Oid you read in addition to the specification which
	is a cut-out portion of the full printed patent, did
	you read the claims that were a part of the foregoing
	specification and claims?

Α.	Do I understand your question to mean that you want
	me to read all the claims?
Q.	No, did you at the time you signed?
Α.	I'm sorry. I misunderstood you. I thought you asked
	me whether or not I could read all the claims. Yes,
	I did.
Ω.	I would like to ask you, now, to read the
	declaration.
	MR. WILLIAMS: You mean read the
	declaration into the record or read it to himself?
	MR. WELSH: No, read it to himself.
	THE WITNESS: Here it is:
Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Do you still subscribe to all of the
	statements in the declaration as they are written?
Α.	Yes, I do.
Ω.	Is there any statement with which you have any
	difficulty subscribing to?
	MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Baer, I suggest
	that if you have any doubt at all that you take your
	time and read it very thoroughly.
A.	THE WITNESS: Well, I thought I
2.	read it very carefully, and the answer is no, I don't
	have any problem with identifying or agreeing with any

22.

220

Q.

Α.

Q.

12 .

Q.

221

90 W 7

2

222

of the statements made in the declaration at the present time.

(By Mr. Welsh.) At the time you signed the declaration did you actually have the beliefs stated therein yourself, or did you subscribe to the statements because they had been prepared by the legal department?

No, I am certain the former was the case.

I refer now, specifically, to the following portion

on page 2 in the center: "-- that in the context of
my invention and in the context of the description
thereof in Sanders' patent 3659285 I have always
understood and believed 'television receiver' and
'standard television receiver' to mean any cathode
ray tube display incorporating circuitry for a Raster-

receiver and standard television receiver at the time that 285 application was filed meant any cathode ray

type scan." Did you believe that the terms television

tube display incorporating circuitry for Raster-type

scan even though it did not have the I.F. or R.F.

sections active?

A. Yes so to the man many was it outside to enter

And you did not acquire that understanding only after you became familiar with coin-operated TaV. games?

Noglair: or meduration, A.F. From: 1.5., and detector Α. Referringato the fiftheline from the bottom of page 223 Q. 2, of the declaration and the part that reads: "While Erbelieve the enumerated claims 1 and 2705 and 6 do, 10 in fact, cover games using television monitors tor broadcast receivers with the radio and intermediate frequency portions by-passed on disabled -- "2 Did cost A. your actually believe that those chaims did pin fact, Cover such games? Then way wears ago. If that's what Yes. ment by discussion with others, the answer is yes Α. On what did you base your belief ally read the claims 224 Q. Ongthe fact that we had previously, and I think I Α. testified to that a number of occasions, frequently A. discussed the direct application of the video and positive wideo woutput of the T.V. game to the video sections of a Raster scan device. That repeatedly to bemoaned the fact that T.V. manufacturers were too Cheap if you will allow, me andolloquialism, eto puts A. what ewemcharacterized has whe ophonograph wjack into standard television receivers which at very lowhat expense to them would have made it possible towenter the video and sync circuits of a television receiver

directly instead of via the circulous route of an R.F.

The second secon	
Q.	oscillator modulation, R.F. front, I.F., and detector.
Q.	Did your base that belief that the enumerated claims
	1, 2, 5 and 6 covered the games using T.V. monitors
	or broadcastareceivers with the radio and intermediate
	frequency portions by-passed, then, as a result of
	discussions with other people?
Α.	To believe To already testified that we by we, I mean
	Harrison, Rusch had discussions on the subject.
	That's my recollection many years ago. If that's what
	you mean by discussion with others, the answer is yes
Q.	In those discussions did you actually read the claims
	together?
Α.	Those discussions occurred years before any patent
.A	was issued: The same week and the same in ore
Q.	Well, I ask you the basis for the belief that claims
	1, 2, 5 and 6 covered T.W. games, and you referred to
	your rearlier discussion? var as a margin and
·A.	That's right. The claims, I believe, were the claims
	you enumerated here, are valid, because we had
	discussions years before pointing to the fact that
	there are other ways of entering T.V. sets than via
	the antenna terminals. Unfortunately, it wasn't
A.	practical to do so in a home environment. or for how
	Q. A. Q.

11		
228	Q.	Referring, now, to the middle of page 3, it contains
230	A. *	the statement: "That the inclusion of terms within
	Α.	Chaims of Sanders patent No. 3659285 such as claims 1
		and w6 which might form a basis for any party to take
		the position that those chaims do not include
		television games as a video display device " I'm at a
		sorry + "television games using as a video display
		device seither a vtelevision monitor or television
		receiver sintended to receive broadcast television
1.1	Q.	signals but with the radio frequency and intermediate
		frequency portions thereof by passed or disabled was
		their error and without any deceptive intention:
	A. a	Whose cerror does ythat orefer to? just about averybod,
	Α.	Lothink error on the part of all of wis who were in one
		way torganother contributed to the disclosures, the
		writing of the applications, to the corrections of
232	Ω.	the applications for the various inventions.
229	Q.,	You referred yesterday to demonstrations made to RCA
		and withers starting in January of 1969, and
		specifically that you in those demonstrations used
		the apparatus of Exhibit: 35. How dong did those in
		demonstrations go on?
233	A).	Do you mean each individual demonstration of for how

		many months? or view to the terror to the prosecution of the prosecuti
230	Q.	Well; the period of demonstrating.
	À:	Well, in most cases I am still not sure how to
234	¥.	answeryyour question correctly. The most cases the
		demonstration lasted for about two or three hours with
	Α.	interruptions or repetition of facets of the demonstra-
235	12 =	tion, in particular, participation by most of the
	2.	membersyofithe visiting visitors' groups taking
2:6	S.	turnsuplaying games. enter hat he potents in whith
231	Q.	Diddany of the visitors for whom the demonstrations
	Α.,	were given show any interest in going forward with
: 17	d +	TV. games? there
	À.	To the best of my recollection, just about everybody,
2.8	Q.	notwjustatethecacameetingobutaatesubsequent
	Α.	meetings, who saw and participated in the demonstrations
		appeared favorably impressed if not enthusiastic.
232	Q.	Whatewashthe purpose of the demonstrations ? Die to
	Α.	To determine interest on the part of the companies
		or visitors represented in taking a license to patent
		or patents for the purpose of producing T.V. games.
		I don't even know whether the patents were issued for
		the coming patent disclosures.
233	Q.	it was contemplated that Sanders would grant a license

		to one of those visitors for manufacturing T.V. games
	T .	under your patent rights?
	Α.	That's right.
234	Q.	Did any of the persons to whom you demonstrated
34C	عا	Exhibit 35 ever take a license?
	Α.	Yes, Magnavox.
2 <b>3</b> 5	Q.	Did any of the others ever take a license?
2-1	Α.	No, they did not.
236	Ω.	Did any of the others enter into negotiations with
		Sanders for a license?
·- L.	Α.	Yes, they did, RCA, specifically.
237	Q.	Any of the others?
	Α.	I don't believe so.
238	Q.	To what other companies were demonstrations made?
	Α.	To General Electric, to representatives of Sylvania,
		to Warwick Electronics, to Zenith. I don't have the
		folder which you have in front of you available to
		refresh my memory.
		(Document handed to the witness
		by Mr. Welsh.)
24.5	ŭ.	THE WITNESS: Yes, to Motorolagn?
	Α,	and Labelieve I've covered them all.
239	ω,	tBy Mr. Welsh. } When did those demonstrations take
	l	×

	Α.	place?
245	A.	Again, with reference to Exhibit 21, they began in
		January of 169 and lasted or recurred at various times
	A.	during 169 and into July of 1970.
240	Q.	What happened with respect to the RCA negotiations?
	Α.	Touthe best of my recollection, an agreement was written
		and somehow never reached the signature stage.
241	Q.	Dosyou, knows why 2 min or, and the reference to an in-
	Α.	Tercannonly recollect in general that there was some
		disagreementa on specific terms. nota on that he was
242	Q.	When did the first demonstrations to Magnavox take
		place?entloyet by Ragazyak.
	Α.	To Magnavox, well, indirectly, a demonstration took
		place to William Enders of RCA during that first RCA
		visit, and he took this knowledge of this demonstration
		with him to Magnavox, and he caused a repeat performance
		at Magnavox whose date I can't learn by looking at the
	w.	cover of Exhibit 21, and I don't know whether it is
246	<u>u</u>	anywhere in the body of Exhibit 21. 11 don't recall
		from dimemory. Find any decisions which indicate the
243	Q.	But Mr. Enders attended the first RCA demonstration?
	A.	That's right, as a member of the RCA team.
244	AQ.	Did he later, then, become employed by Magnavox2
	l	

	2	Yes, herdid. in concern was the second
	Α.	resemerand. in concert the way
245	Q.	Did you wfind in Exhibit 21 anything which would indicate
		when the Magnavox demonstration first took place?
	Α.	Not precisely. The one reference here is 21-121
		in which aim my handwriting I note Bill Enders phone
247	u.	number, address, etc., at Magnavox in New York and the
	Is a	request to have proprietary agreements sent to him, I
		assume, corato Magnavox, and the reference to an in-
		tention of visiting 7 or 17 July, 70 I don't under-
		stand. t It says h 71 here. And a notation that he was
		with RCA up to three months ago, so he'd be, if you
	Q.	will remployed by Magnavox.
	B.	With reference to aWhereupon, at 3:40 o'clock, P. M.,
		arishortowecess was taken. )and enother which postains
		to Lou Stlinger, we appear to have been thora on
		August 26 and 07, 1970.
240	¥.	Do you rememiafter RECESS? 3:50 P.M.
	۸.	Yes, I do.
246	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) During our recess, Mr. Baer, were
		you able to find any documents which indicate the
	Α.	circumstances and time when there was first contact
2-1	Q.	with Magnavox?s did you take
	A>.	Subsequent to the telephone conversation which we,
	H .	ı

referred	to in connection with 21-121, there was a
letter wr	itten by Mr. Ethinger to Mr. Enders on July
1, 1970,	in which he invited Mr. Enders to come and
look at a	demonstration of T.V. games on July the 17th
at 9:00 A	.M. Sie Bis bist of
That's 19	70%b
1970 yes	Though I don't specifically remember Mr.
Endersico	ming in il suppose he was, and that subsequent
<del>t</del> o his vi	sithere we, bou Etlinger and I, made a visit
tobForthW	ayne where we demonstrated the equipment to
á number	of Magnavox personnnel there. It was a fair
And when	did thatatriproccur?evergers. Pro Joseph
Withinefe	rence to some weekly expense reports, one
which per	tains to myself and another which pertains
po BoutEt	linger, we appear to have been there on state
August 26	stand. 27, 1970sve Mr. Sinders with there I
Doiyouhre	member that trip? or of carno. the company
¥es,∘Dedd	Intelligible of the company. Sheet, as member
Digiyour t	ake apparatusato Magnavoko to tdemonstrate
PiV. Sgame	estito them? of a realize of the protection
Yes , we d	lid. Wot to long the offer Haghavox expressed
whátsáppa	ratus did you take? a telesting, exploratory
manibites	5] weighthit 35A massociated overlays and,

Q.

Α.

A .

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

(1 a

Α.

Q.

248

249

250254

	1	
F 5,	id.	also, the golf putting attachment which we were
	1	unable to find. Oh, excuse me. bAnd Exhibit I'm
		sorry. Offithe record. to musers of vesting and
		construct & central (Discussion off the record.) ich
		were to be used for THE WITNESS: Exhibit 31.
252	Ω,	(Byt Mr. Welsh.) Was the demonstration there similar
	- A.	to the one you described with respect to RCA?
257	Ą,	Essentially identical.
25 <b>3</b>	Q,	Pobyouerecall who was present at the demonstration
		other than you and Mr. Etlinger3: f the record.
	Α.	I recall the names of several speople. Filt was a fairly
		large group av Ixcan't recall everyone: Mr. Jerry or
		Martin who was then vice president of the group that
	¥	was eventually to be concerned with T.V. games was
		present. Mr. Wiles was spresent. 4He was an assistant
		to Mr. Martin. I believe Mr. Sanders cwash there I
		think he was a chief engineer of either the company
258	Q.	organne division of the companyin Severaldsenfor f you
		engineering people whose names I don't recall.
254	Q.	Did anything happen as camesult of that meeting?
	Α.	Well; yes an Not too long thereafter Magnavox expressed
8 107	ø	a desire to go forward with a marketing; exploratory
	146)	market tevaluation torogram th I made reference, namely,
		ł.

255	Q.	And what was done in that regard?
	Ασ	I believe agreement was reached between Sanders and
		Magnavox permitting them to construct, design and
		construct a certain number of prototype units which
		were to be used for that market survey.
256	Q.	That was to the constructed by Magnavox?
	Α.	By Magnavox. I a least wetter was a least
25 <b>7</b>	Q:	Isythat agreement here? he date of the requestion
	Α.	Tabelieve so.
	P	That's correct, sir (Discussion off the record.)
260	Q.	And the date of yourhe witness: The first agreement
		allowed Magnavox to construct whatever it was, ten or
	/ h	fifteen; market survey research units.
251	4.	Qid anything happen MR. tweLSH: col'dolike toe ask the
		reporter to mark this as Exhibit 43.
		(Whereupon; the document above: 's referred to was marked Sanders' gealings with Magn Exhibit No. 43 for Identification.)
258	۷.	(By Mr. Welsh.) I hand you Exhibit 43 and ask if you
	Α.	could identify that, please? happened in the livery
		Certainly, there mus(Document handed to the witness
		by Mr. Welsh. dens.
763	Q.	Did you not particin WINESSON Exhibit 43 appears
	A _	to be the document to which I made reference, namely,

264		agreement between Magnavox and Sanders which permits,
		permitted Magnavox to move forward in the T.V. game
		area, but I would have to read the text on whether
ı	s .	it really represents agreement to allow them to make
		apparatus. Yes, here it is. Section 3, Magnavox
		shall have the right to make up to twenty units.
265		That's what I had recollected earlier.
259	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Now, the date of that agreement is
		March 3, 1971?
	Α.	That's correct, sir.
260	Q.	And the date of your visit with Mr. Etlinger was in
		August of 1970?
	Α.	'70. August 26 and 27.
261	Q.	Did anything happen with respect to your dealings with
		Magnayox in the meantime.
266	ė.	MR. WILLIAMS: You mean Mr. Baer's
		dealings with Magnavox?
262	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) No, Sanders.
	Α.	I don't know whether anything happened in the interim.
		Certainly, there must have been discussions. We ricci
		concluded agreements.
263	Ω.	Did you not participate in discussions?
	Α.	If I did. I do not specifically recollect assign it and
	l	1

264	Q.	Do you recall whether Sanders undertook to do
267	7.	anything in connection with design or construction of
	1	the prototype?
	Α.	Oh, no, we had no bench or labor construction activity
168	5 -	of any kind that year or the following year that I
	2	can, remember:
265	Q.	Dopl understand correctly, then, that nothing was
		done in the further design of the T.V. games devices
2.15		here from January of '69 when the RCA demonstration
		was first given?
	Α.	I'm not sure of that, but I believe that's true,
		because, to the best of my knowledge, Exhibit 35
11,	×.	represents the end of the line of the hardware we
		built, at least in preparation for demonstrations to
		potential licensees, in 1969 and '70.
266	Q.	Did Sanders furnish anything to Magnavox to assist
		Magnavox in the construction of the prototypes?
	Α.	Yes, we lent them the breadboard unit which we had
271	<i>ū</i> .	labeled Exhibit 35, I think, under the terms of this
		agreement, Exhibit 43. We gave them a certain period
	Α.	of time during which they could use it for reference
<u>s</u>	Ų.	purposes in conjunction with some schematics that
		describe Exhibit 35 so that they could redesign it and
	an .	1

264		9 .	build their own units for use in the field.
	267	Q.	Did you furnish drawings, then, to Magnavox?
		Α.	Yes, we did. Well, informal drawings were furnished.
			those drawings which describe what's in Exhibit 35.
	268	Q.	Are copies of those drawings here?
	.73	A.	Yes, I believe the folder you have in your hand
265		À.	represents that collection of drawings that was sent
			to Maghavox. in this filder that the aboveviated list
	269	Q.	That's been marked as Exhibit 20.
	274	od o	Could you no through (Document handed to the witness by
		Ph. v	Mr. Welsh.) I think they're not quite in order.
			20-2 is figure 1, while WITNESS of That s correct. ential
	2 <b>7</b> 0	Q.	(By Mr. Weish.) And these drawings were these
			specific drawings or are these copies of drawings that
			Were sent to Magnavox? couple of d ys ago.
26	275	*A*•	It appears that we have all the originals here.
		A,	We ran off blueprints or copies which we sent to
			Magnavox, blueprint or Bruning.
	271	· <b>Φ</b> .	Those drawings have already been given identifying
			numbers, have they not be I'll save time this whole
		A.	ryes, athey have, up by harring for the pure me of
5	272	Q.	Could you go through them lone by one and identify.
			Enem, please? Instead of Home of the tire? you

Α.	The first one is 20-1, which is a listing at a T.V.
	gave device. It refers to seven different figures.
No.	Qh, I see. The drawings were entitled figure 1, 2, 3,
	etc. This list lists figure 1, block diagram; figure
Ä.	2, a schematic, and so on and so forth.
Q.	And are those drawings actually there?
A.	Mr. Welsh, there are quite a few more figures,
	physically, in this folder than this abbreviated list
	on 20-1 shows.
Ω.	Could you go through them and identify them, please?
A.	Yes, I can. I think they're not quite in order.
	20-2 is figure 1, which is a block diagram of essentially
	what's in Exhibit 35, Figure 2 is a detailed
Α.	schematic which we had already looked at under some
Q.	other exhibit number a couple of days ago.
Q.	What date does 20-2 bear? That's 20-3, I believe.
A	Oh, I'm sorry, 20-2 has Harrison's signature on it
	with a date 3/24/71 hextato-it. The new. In you
Q.	And 20-3, 20-3, as far as I can tell, has no date.
Q.	I might say that maybe I'll save time this whole
Α.	package was made up by Harrison for the purpose of
	having Magnayox engineers look at relatively clean-
	looking drawings instead of some of the tired and

2.7.3

**7**5

	l.	
		tattered schematics we had, so that I assume that the
		dates should all be within a day or two of 3/24/71.
277	Q.	Now, Exhibit 20-1, which is the list of drawings,
		has a date, does it not?
282	À.	Yes, 3/24/71.
2 <b>7</b> 8	Q*.	And does it refer to Exhibit 20-3?
	Α.	Yes, it does. It calls for schematics and parts
		list of block breakdown 8. I think that means 3A
		through 3N, schematics and parts list of breakdown
		of block diagram of figure 1.
2 <b>7</b> 9	Ω.	May I direct your attention to the fact that Exhibit
		20-3 contains the reference "fig," f-i-g, 2, is that
		correct?
	Α.	20-3, yes, that 's right.
280	Ω.	Then is Exhibit 20-3 the document identified as figure
		21 on 20-1? The state of transfer 20-1 and unous a schematic
	Α.	Yes, test system schematic.
		I understand this now. Do you
		want me to go on?
281	Q.	Yes, please, that part of the discustry.
	Α.	Evidently, there is not one figure 3. There's figure
		3A through N; all of which are schematics and parts
		fist pertaining to what he calls a block breakdown of
	III.	1

figure 1 and the schematic of figure 2, and, indeed,

3A is a schematic of the horizontal and vertical

sync oscillator and so on and so forth. Do you want

me to go through every last one of them?

Q.

A.

All right. Figure 20-4 shows two schematics and is labeled "Horizontal and vertical sync multivibrators," and is dated 3/24/71.

Yes.

20-5 is figure 3-B identified as a "Spot generator," and it shows the schematic of a spot generator.

and labeled "Primary flip flop," also done on the

24th of March, '71.

Figure 3-E is labeled "Secondary

flip flop." Lt is Exhibit 20-7 and shows a schematic

of the secondary flip flop or with wall flip flop.

3-N and 14 Figure 3-F is Exhibit 20-8. It

is labeled "Crowbar reset and pump switch" and shows

schematics of that part of the circuitry.

Anti-Whit's the must Figure 3-G is Exhibit 20-9 labeled "Gateometrix-". It shows the diodes and resistors of that part of the gate matrix in Exhibit 35. 12No date

282

on this drawing.

Figure 3-H is Exhibit 20-10 and labeled "Rifle electronics and golf putting joy stick" and shows two schematics, one for the rifle electronics, one for the golf putting joy stick, same date, the 24th.

chromagenerator, Exhibit No. 20=11, same date.

Figure 3-K is Exhibit 20-12 and

Figure 3-K is Exhibit 20-12 and

Shows two schematics and is labeled "Joy stick amps

and rifle l'shot." It shows a corresponding schematic.

Figure 3-L is a schematic of a code generator labeled as such. It is Exhibit 20-13.

We need in the des Figure 3 - Exhibit 20-14 has no figure number and is labeled "TV gaming device decoder."

Teris a schematic for Exhibit 31.

32N1 and is a Tayout drawing, a sketch, actually, of the physical location of circuits as they appear when you look into the top of Exhibit 35.

And what's the number of that document?

I'mesorry, tophat's 20-15.

7

253

2 32 5

283

Q.

Α.

\_\_\_\_

	li .	
186	2	bottom of Exhibit 35 showing the location of sub-
		assemblies, if you will, on what we call the bottom
		of Exhibit 35. I don't know whether I said it, but
		that was Exhibit 20-16.
		variou black in 20-17 is figure 4-A of that initial
		listing on 20-1. It consists of a series of
		wave forms found at various places in the equipment.
		simple up from we 20-18 continues that depicting of
		wave forms and is called figure 4-B. Then there's a
		figure 4-C, Exhibit No. 20-19, that continues to show
201	be e	yarious wave forms. Remains a same of the
		Then we go to Exhibit 20-20
288	ű.	which is figure 5 that lists the game sequence which
		weeused in the demonstration to Magnavox, which is
		identical to the one we looked at yesterday in
	<i>A</i> . •	connection with box 35, Exhibit 35.
284	Q.	That was thendemonstration for -RCA?
	Α.	RCA and all the others.
285	Q.	Figure 20-21 I mean, Exhibit 20-21, I'm sorry,
		is figure 6 in the listing and is so designated and i
		called a T.V. gaming device block diagram. Game
78%	W so	Imbelieve that says vrs. circuitry, and I don't know
	۸.	what that means It looks like v-r-s. through 23 and

1		
286	Q.	Was there not another gaming block diagram?
	Α.	Yes, there was. I think figure 1, which is Exhibit
		20-2, is a block diagram in the sense that it shows
		schematic detail of interconnections between the
		various blocks in a system including some of the
		switch sa functions. That s 20-2. On the other hand,
		20-21 is what I call a flow diagram that shows what
		signals god from which block to what block, and I
		consider it as a simplified version of figure 1 of
		20-2.
287	Q.	Does 20-21 still represent the apparatus of Exhibit 35?
	Α.	Oh, yes.
288	Q.	Was there any written description that accompanied
		these diagrams other than the listing, such as
		Exhibit 20-1? T.
	Α.	Todon't remember, Mr. Welsh, and off the record.
to "	Q.	It want a co, v ' (Discussion off the record.)
	27 4	THE WITNESS: Unless there is
		something contained in here that will refresh my
		memory, I don't remember turning out a functional
		description, and it doesn't appear to be here.
289	Q.	(By Mr. Welsh.) Would you go on, then Zition now, of
	A.	Ald right. The next exhibitais 20-22 through 23 are

241

two pages of electrical parts lists. That relates to specific circuits. In this case, the sync multi-vibrator and spot generator is specifically as shown in the upper left-hand corner on 20-22 and 20-23.

3-C. Now, see what I meant by my earlier remark that the pages are out of sequence? Because we've gone past 3 to 4 and 5, and here we're back to figure 3 showing a summing amplifier and modulator and R.F. oscillator.

20-25 and 20-26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 are all parts lists, all identified as to which section of the breadboard system Exhibit 35 they pertain to:

20-36 is a schematic, a print this

thought we had already seen in the original before.

Is that a copy of the one which was marked earlier?

Yes, it is. It is a print of Exhibit 20-14, so it is redundant.

time, of the T.V. gaming device decoder which I

20-37 seems to be a print of the sequence of games that were played, and I think we're getting to a whole lot of reproduction, now, of the originals which we have just gone through in

287

288

782

0.

Α.

290

2.9

reduced format, that is, reduced to an 8-1/2 x 11 size from whatever sizes they might have been before. That goes from what number to what number? That is 20-38 through 20-63. 20-64 is a reprint of an article written by George Kent of Magnavox on large screen solid state T.V. with quality performance, and that goes on through 20-69, and I have no idea why it is in this folder. can't hell a 20-70 is a repetition of a printed, Xerox copy, rather, of 20-1, so it is redundant. 20-71, 72, 73 and on through 102 appear to be Kerox copies of the original schematics and parts list and wave forms that we looked at earlier. Could you just take a moment and check that to be sure, because it doesn't include some newer material. O. K. Do you want me to call them out as I look at them or justing the follows will be and low are te-Nooch don't think that will be -- I wrote and has Alls righters recorring on on vivit along the Bill This group in particular, 20-73 and on. The reason I askalthatias there seem to be quite a large number of parts lists, whereas there were only a couple of

291

291

Q.

A .

292

293

Q.

Α.

Q.

parts lists in the numbers that you referred to previously.

A. There were quite a few, except we counted them two pages at a time interspersed with schematics, and here they are all bound together.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Baer, take your

time.

THE WITNESS: All right. If you like, but it!s just having gone throughthem all, I can't tell at a glance whether they're in there or not. The only thing we have to double-check is whether the number of parts list correspond to the number, and that, of course, I can tell by inspection. There are 13 Xerox copied pages of parts lists here, so -- (By Mr. Welsh.) There appear to be roughly that, a corresponding number. Does that complete -- No, it doesn't. There are other documents starting with 20-103 in this folder. 20-103 and 104 are re-t productions of a contact report which I wrote and has my signature reporting on my visit along with Bill Harrison --

295

St.

296

Q.

Α.

Α.

to Fort Wayne on the 30th of March, 1971, 220-105,

		which is attached to this contact report, is a roughly,
		hierarchy of schematic, showing who is who in the
703	Q.	Magnavox corporate hierarchy who we met there at
		Magnavox or heard about in connection with T.V. games.
		Docyoù want me to go on?
297	Q.	Yes, please.
3:17	A.	Thetnext document is 20-166 which appears to be
	it a	another print of the schematic, Exhibit 35; which it
		appearsato bea-tithat is, it sta Bruning copy of a
		Exhibit: 20-3. Finally athere's arblueprint copy of,
9		again, of figure 2pwith some red ink markings on it
		andopencia markings. Spreences that we made
298	Q.	Dowyounknows who puththe markings on?
	Α.	Nobelidon'ter two days. By just scanning that, it
299	Q.	Doo'they mean anything to you? ay.
306	а.	Not they confuse me, if canything protesty as in accordance
300	Q.	You said Mr. Harrison prepared this packet?
	Α.	Yes, I It's entirely possible that this is irrelevant
. 7	J.	to the package sade e say of two controls to the sade
301	Q.	By, thate you meangit may not have
41 pi	A.	Been delivered that he has a delivered to the
302	Q.	been a copy of something delivered to Magnayox?
	Α.	That's right It might have been a schematic that was

	5 2	marked up later for some other purpose. I don't
		recall.
303	Q.	Now, referring to your March 30, 1971, trip on the
		subject of your document, 20-103, 104 and 105, do you
	A.	recall that trip?
	Α.	Yes, sir.
304	Q.	What was the purpose of the trip?
	Α.	To help Magnavox engineers to become acquainted with
311	7.4 e	the detail functions of Exhibit 35 in anticipation of
		their effort to redesign and generate some new
		demonstration equipment for use in the field in
		accordance with the agreement that we had.
305	Ω.	How long were you there?
	Α.	Ilbelieve for two days - By just scanning that, it
		looks like I was there for a day.
306	Ω.	Did Magnavox actually construct prototypes in accordance
		withethe agreement?5
	Α.	Yes, *Inbelieve soys ser hed to a word the and her
307	Q.	Did you ever examined any of those prototypes?
, i	A.	No, I never did get to see any of them.
308	Q.	Do you know whether Magnavox made any changes in the
	4.	circuitry which is shown in these diagrams that
		you!ve, just been identifying?

- A. You mean to those prototypes, in those prototypes?

  I do not know.
- Q. Did they consult with Sanders after the March 30th trip of you and Mr. Harrison to Fort Wayne?

0

- A. I don't exactly recall whether there was an additional trip. I don't think so. They were very independent.
- Q. You say they were very independent?
- A. They were very independent. The reserver of second to
- Q. Now, we did refer in Exhibits 32A through 32E to a further contact with Magnavox in which your
- A. consulting services were offered to them and, if

  I recall correctly, that nothing ever came of that

  negotiation?
- A. Also, this was much later. This was long after Odyssey, which was the trade name they applied to their first commercial product that had become commercially available, or at least shortly after or sometime after Odyssey became available and not during the breadboard demonstration period.
- Do you recall any other thing that you did with or

  for Magnavox prior to the introduction of Odyssey?
- A. No, to the best of my recollection, there was very

  fittle, if any, contact between us during the period

of time between our delivery of the data package we just went through and the time they first showed hardware to the public and the press at large.

That was after they were in production?

A. Well, I would say that was when they were preparing

for production, I believe, in March of '72.

It was after they had decided to go into production?

A. Yes, also after they had written the new agreement with us.

Q. Is this agreement dated January 27, 1972?

A. That's right.

Q.

Q.

A

Q.

20

MR. WELSH: Mr. Seligman, could we mark this as an exhibit? This is similar to the other one.

MR. SELIGMAN: Yes, no problem.

to what (Discussion off the record.)

MR: WELSH: Well, may we say this: if there's any problem, may we make Xerox copies of these and substitute them for the exhibits?

MR. SELIGMAN: I'm sure there's no problem, because this agreement has got markings on it and, also, there appear notations that it wasn't initialed by Mr. Rosell, so I'm sure this is

not the original copy, so --

(Discussion off the record.)

- Q. (By Mr. Welsh.) Have you had occasion to examine the circuitry of any of the commercial Odyssey games of Magnavox?
- A. Yes, I've had a schematic for the first Odyssey unit within a few months after it appeared on the market.
- Q. Did you compare that circuitry with your own circuitry as represented by Exhibit 35 of the documents in Exhibit 20?
- A. Yes, at one time or another.
- Q. Did you find them to be the same or different?
- A. No, they're different in quite a number of respects.
- Q. Were they different in minor specs or major respects?

MR. WILLIAMS: I object to the

question as to what a minor respect or major respect is.

many circuit changes to improve performance. I assume you want examples?

- Q. (By Mr. Welsh.) I was just interested in the nature of the changes.
- A. Of course, the interconnections in the machine, the method in which it is programmed are completely

317

316

321

318

319

323

320

A STATE OF

different than the original Magnavox idea. The business of using plug-in cards to do the pre-programming in the detail design is all brand new.

Q. Did not some of your forms contemplate plug-in cards?

A. We might have talked about it, but they went ahead and did it, which is quite a bit different. Of course, I don't know what the cause or reason for all of the changes were, but some of them had to do with poor synchronization of some of the sets when used with the original breadboard, and they cleaned that up. A great deal of effort was expended on the R.F. section to meet FCC requirements and so on.

Q. Do you happen to remember any other?

- A. No, the only thing that comes to mind is some changes they made to the circuitry in the rifle.
- Q. Was the manner of play of the first commercial
  Odyssey game the same or different than the manner
  of play of your model, Exhibit 35?
- A. Many of the games were either identical or similar, and some were brand new. Certainly, all the software that accompanied the games was brand new. All the basic games were essentially as they had been before, ping pong, tennis, handball, volleyball all appeared

321

322

either in the basic unit or in the options which Magnavox offered with the first unit.

MR. WELSH: Let's break. How

about 9:30 in the morning?

MR. WILLIAMS: I have a slight

problem.

MR. WELSH: 10:00?

MR. WILLIAMS: O. K.

Raff H. bder

THE STATE OF New Hampshire SS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10(#1)

day of

19 76

Jaulys C. Trapalis Justife of the Peach and/or Notary Public

Marity Tepalis

My Commission Expression 10, 1980